743



# राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

# हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्यशासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

7	Culy	समला, शो	नवार, 21 जु	लाई, 198	<b>4</b> /30 म्राषाढ़,	1906	[	संख्या 29
7			विषय-सूर्च	ì				
भ	गि 1	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के	राज्यपाल श्रीर	हिमाचल प्रदे	श हाई कोर्ट द्वार	ा ग्रधिसूचनाएं	इत्यादि	876891
स	ग 2	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों	के ग्रध्यक्षों ग्रीर	जिला मैजिस्	ट्रेटों द्वारा ग्रधिसृ	चनाएं इत्यादि	ŧ	891—898
भ	ाग 3	श्रधिनियम, विधेयक ग्रौर विधेयकों पर प्रवर सर्गि हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाइनेन्शल कमिश	नति के प्रतिवेदन तथा कमिण्न	, वैद्यानिक नि र ग्राफ इन्कम	यम तथा हिमाच ।-टैक्स द्वारा ग्रहि	न प्रदेश के राज जूचित भादेश	व्यपाल, इत्यादि	तथा 907 898—900
भ	ाग 4	स्थानीय स्वायत शासनः म्यूनिसिपल बोडं, डिस्ट्रि	क्ट बोर्ड, नोटिप	तइड ग्रीर टा	उन एरिया तथा	पंचायती राज	विभाग	
भ	<b>ाग</b> 5	वैयक्तिक श्रविसूचनाएं ग्रोर विज्ञापन	••	• •	••			900-906
भ	गि छ	भारतीय राजपत्न इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन	i	• •	••		••	
भ	गि 7	भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Con निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं	amission of	India) ৰ্ক 	ो वैधानिक ग्रा 	घसूचनाएं तथ 	ा ग्रन्य	<del></del>
•	<u> </u>	मनुपूरक	••	••	••	••	••	908911
2	 1 जुलाई,	. 1984/3∋ स्राषाढ़, 1906 को समाप्त होने वाले	ो सप्ताह में नि <i>स</i>	लिखित विज्ञ	प्तियां 'ग्रसाधार	ग राजपत्न, हिम	गचल प्रदेश	में प्रकाशित हुई :-
	F	विज्ञप्ति की संख्या   विभाग	का नाम			विषय		

its Hindi version.

# भाग 1-वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट

## NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-1, the 27th June, 1984

No. HIC/GAZ II-52 74-Vol. II-7893.—Consequent upon the appointments of S/Shri Surjit Singh and Janeshwar Goel to the Cadre of Himachal Pradesh Higher Judicial Service, on ad hoc basis, the Hon'ble Chief Justice and the Judges are pleased to order the following transfers and postings in the public interest with immediate effect :-

- Shri Rameshwar Sharma, Senior Sub-Judge-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kullu is transferred and posted as such at Shimla vice Shri Surjit Singh promoted to the Cadre of Himachal Pradesh Higher Judicial Service.
- Shri T. N. Vaidya, Senior Sub-Judge-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chamba is transferred and posted as such at Bilaspur vice Shri Janeshwar Goel promoted to the Cadre of Himachal Higher Judicial Service.
- 3. Shri J. S. Tomar, Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Dalhousie is transferred and posted as Senior Sub-Judge-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chamba vice No. 2 above.
- Shri K. C. Negi, Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Chopal is transferred and posted as Senior Sub-Judge-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrate Kullu vice No. 1 above.
- 5. Shri J. N. Barowalia, Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Dehra is transferred and posted as Senior Sub-Judge-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lahaul-Spiti as Kullu against a vacant post.
- 6. Shri J. L. Chauhan, Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Jogindernagar is transferred and posted as such at Dehra vice No. 5 above.

# Shimla-171001, the 27th June, 1984

No. HHC/GAZ/14-53/74-II-7882.—Consequent upon the appointments on promotion of S/Shri Surjit Singh and Janeshwar Goel to the Cadre of Himachal Pradesh Higher Judicial Service on ad hoc basis vide Himachal Pradesh Government notification No. 1-22/71-Home (B)-pudicial III dated the 20th lumber 1084 Judicial III, dated the 20th June, 1984, Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to order the following transfers and postings in the public interest with immediate effect:-

- 1. Shri O. P. Sharma, Additional District Sessions Judge (II) (Special Judge Forest Cases) is transferred and posted as Additional District and Sessions Judge (I), Kangra at Dharamshala against a vacant post.
- Shri Surjit Singh, Senior Sub-Judge-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrate, Shimla on his having been promoted and appointed to the Cadre of Himachal Pradesh Higher Judicial Service on ad hoc basis is posted as Additional District and Sessions Judge (II), (Special Judge Forest Cases), Shimla vice No. 1 above.
- 3. Shri Janeshwar Goel, Senior Sub-Judge-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bilaspur on his having been promoted and appointed to the Cadre of Himachal Pradesh, Higher Judicial Service or ad hoc basis is transferred and posted as Additional District and Sessions Judge, Mandi against a

vacant post.

Shimla-171001, the 28th June, 1984

No. HHC/GAZ/14-56/75-8018.—The Hon'ble Chief Justice has been pleased to certify that the posts of District & Sessions Judges, Additional District & Sessions Judges on the cadre of Himachal Pradesh Higher Judicial Service and the ex-cadre post of Additional District Judge, Dharamshala are higher posts involving the assumption of duties and responsibilities of greater importance than those attaching to the post of Senior Sub-Judges-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrates of the cadre of H. P. Judicial Service, irrespective of the fact whether the latter post is held by the member in the time scale or

that in the selection grade. This certificate is issued in accordance with the provisions contained under F. R. 22(a)(i). It will apply to all the previous as well as future cases of fixation of pay of members of H. P Higher Judicial Service.

# Shimla-171001, the 30th June, 1984

No. HHC/GAZ/14-150/83-8158.—The Hon'ble Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to grant 10 days earned leave with effect from 4-7-1984 to 13-7-1984 with permission to suffix holidays falling on 14-7-1984 & 15-7-1984 respectively, in favour of Shri Kuldip Jain, Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Shimla, Himachal

Certified that Shri Kuldip Jain is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave after the expiry of the above period of leave.

Certified further that Shri Kuldip Jain would have continued to officiate as Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate but for his proceeding on leave. TOE Y

## Shimla-1, the 2nd July, 1984

No. HHC/Admn.6(15)/74-8236.—In exercise of the powers vested in them by section 12(1) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Hon'ble Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to appoint the following Judicial Magistrates 1st Class to be the Chief Judicial Magistrates for the districts indicated against each with effect from the date they assume charge of their posts:-

Sr. No. Name and designation Area Shri J. S. Tomar, Chamba district, Judicial Magistrate Himachal Pradesh. 1st Class, Dalhousie, District Chamba, Himachal Pradesh.

Shri K. C. Negi, Judicial Magistrate 2. Kullu district, Himachal Pradesh. 1st Class, Chopal, District Shimla. 3.

Shri J. N. Barowalia, Lahaul & Spiti Judicial Magistrate, district with head quarter Dehra, District at. Kangra. Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.

# Shimla-1, the 2nd July, 1984

No. HHC/Admn.6 (23)/74-I-8258.—Consequent upon the grant of 10 days earned leave with effect from 4-7-1984 to 13-7-1984 with permission to suffix holidays falling on 14-7-1984 and 15-7-1984 respectively in favour of Shri Jain, Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate (II), Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, the Hon'ble Chief Justice in exercise of the powers vested in him under rule 1.26 of) the Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules, 1971, Vol. I, is

शुन्य

70%

sing Officer in respect of the Court of Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate (II), Shimla. His Lordship is further declare the Sub-Judgo-cum-Judicial Mugistrate (I), Shimla as Controlling Officer for the purpose of T A. etc. in respect of Class III and IV restablishment of the aforesaid Court under head "214-Administration of Justice" for the aforesaid period of leave or until Shri Jain returns from leave

pleused to declare Shei R. L. Azad, Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate (I), Shimla as Drawing and Disbur-

By order, R. L. KHURANA,

# Registrar.

# हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

कृषि विभाग

ग्रधिस चना

शिमला-2, 7 जनवरी, 1984

सं 0 एम 0 ए 0 (4) 3/83 -- हिनाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल इस विनाग की सम संख्यक ग्राधिस चना दिनांक 21-11-1983 के अनुकर में जिला भूमि विकास समितियों के कार्य के सत्बन्य में की गई यात्रा के लिए समितियों के गैर-सरकारी सदस्यीं को संलग्न अनुवंश "क" में निर्धारित दर शर्तों के अनुसार/आधार पर यात्रा भक्ते एवं दैनिक भक्ते का भुगतान किए जाने के सहर्ष ब्रादेश देते हैं।

म्रानुबन्ध ''क''

# 1. यावा भत्ता

विशान सभा/लोक सभा सदस्यों के ग्रतिरिक्त ग्रन्य सदस्य

- (i) रेलयाता--ये प्रथम श्रेणी के कर्मचारियों के बराबर माने जायेंगे ग्रौर ऐसे वास्तविक रेल किराये के ग्रधिकारी होगे जिस श्रेणी में उन्होंने वस्तुतः यात्रा की हो किन्तु यह श्रेणी सामान्यतः प्रथम श्रेणी के सरकारी कर्मचारी को नियत की गई श्रेणी से ग्रधिक नहीं होगी । अर्थात ऐसो श्रेणी जो प्रथम श्रेणी के कर्मचारियों के लिए रेलों में उपलब्ध उच्च श्रेणी है ग्रौर चाहे इसे किसी भी नाम से पुकारा जाता है, से यावा की जा सकती है।
- (ii) सड़क द्वारा यात्रा--सदस्य बस द्वारा एक स्थान (सीट) लेकर यात्रा करने पर वास्तविक किराया क हकदार होंगे। यदि याता ग्रपनी कार/पूरी टैक्सी से की हो तो पहाड़ी क्षेत्र में 2/-रुपगे प्रति कि 0 मी 0 अगैर मैदानी क्षेत्र में 1.65 रु प्रति कि 0 मी0 की दुर से ग्रौर यदि यात्रा मोटर साइकल/स्कटर से की गई हो तो पहाड़ी क्षेत्र में 65 नये पैसे प्रति कि 0 मी 0 ग्रौर मैदानी क्षेत्र में 50 नये पैसे प्रति कि0 मी0 की दर स माइलज भत्ता लेने के हकदार होंगे।
- (iii) ऊपर (i) ग्रौर (ii) में निहित किराये ग्रथवा माइलेज के ग्रितिरिक्त सदस्य ग्रपन स्थायी निवास स्थान से प्रस्थान ग्रौर पुनः वहां वापसी के बोच की सम्पूर्ण अनुपिस्यित के लिये उसी दर पर और उन्हीं नियमों के अनुकूल दैनिक भत्ता प्रांत करेगा जो प्रदेश सरकार के प्रथम श्रेणी के ग्रधिकारियों को होत है।
  - 2. दैनिक भत्ता :
- (i) गैर-सरकारी सद्यों की बैठक के दौरान प्रत्येक दिवस के लिये उस उच्चतम दर पर दैनिक भत्ता दिना जायेगा जो वहां के स्थानीय क्षेत्र के लिए प्रथम श्रेणी के सरकारी कर्मचारी को देय हो ।
- (ii) बैठक के दौरान प्रत्येक दिन/दिनों के लिये दैं िक भत्ते के प्रतिरिक्त सदस्य, सिम्ति के कार्य निष्पादन में बाहर ा स्थान पर ठहरनें के लिये भी दैनिक भत्त का निम्नलिखित रूप नें ग्रधिकारी होगा:--

- (त्र) यदि म्ल्यालय से अनुउपि थति 6 घण्टे से अधिक न हो ।
  - (व) यदि मुख्यालय मे अनुपन्थिति ६ वण्टो मे अधिक हो स्रीर 12 वर्ण्टों से स्रधिक न बढे।
  - (स) यदि मुख्यालय से अनुपरियक्ति 12 घण्टों से अधिक पूर्ण वढ जाये।

# 3. वाहन भना:

यदि सदस्य उभी न्यान का निवासी है जहां समिति की वंठक हो तो उस दशा में वह सदस्य उपर्यंका दर पर यात्रा एवं दैनिक भत्ते का श्रविकारी नहीं होगा । उसे केवल किराये पर लिये गये वाहन का वास्तिक खर्चा अधिकायिक 10 रुपये प्रतिदिन की सीमा तक दिया जाएगा। इस प्रकार का भ्गतान करन से पूर्व नियंव ह ग्रियकारी को कथित दावे (क्लेम) के अम्बन्ध में पृष्टि के लिए ऐसा व्यौरा प्रप्त करना होता जो वह ग्रावण्यक समझे ग्रौर तद्परान्त या संयालेख देना होगा कि वात्तविक खर्चादी जाने वलीँ राजि से कम नहीं है। यदि ऐसा सदस्य ग्रपने बाहन का उपयोग करे तो उसे माइलेज भता उम दर पर दिया जायेगा जिस पर प्रथम श्रेगी के स<sub>्</sub>कारी कर्मचारी को दिया जाना है किन्तु जिसकी अधिकाधिक तीमा 10 रुपये प्रतिदिन होगी।

4. य.चा भत्ता एवं दैनिक भना सदस्य को तभी दिया जायेगा जब वह इस प्रकार का प्रमाण पत्र प्रस्तुत करेगा कि कथित यात्रा एवं ठहरने के लिये उतने िसी ग्रन्य सरकारा स्त्रोत से यादा भना या दैनिक भत्ता नहीं लिता है।

5. समिति की बैठको के सम्बन्ध में सहस्य को ग्रपनी बास्तविक

यातः के लिये याता भत्ता लेने की पात्रता प्राप्त करने के लिये

अपने निवास स्थान जहां से/पर यात्रा स्रारम्भ/समापा होगी का नाम पहले देना होगा । यदि कोई सदस्य सिमति की बैठक में भाग लेने के लिए ऐसे किसी स्थान से यात्रा करता है जो उसका स्थाई निवास स्थान नहीं है या बैठक के समाप्त होने पर वापिस ऐसे स्थान को लौटता है जो उसका निवास स्थान नहीं है, ता यात्रा भत्ता तय की गई वास्तविक दूरी या स्थायी निवास ग्रीर बैठक के स्थान के मध्य की दूरी दानों में जो कम हो, के म्राने पर परिगणित किया जारेगा ।

# विधान सभा सदस्यः

ऐसे गैर-सरकारी सदस्यों को जो विधान नभा के सदस्य हैं, समिति के काम के सम्बन्ध में की गई याबाओं के लिये यात्रा भत्ता/दैनिक भत्ता समय समय पर संशोधित विधान सभा सदस्यों के वेतन ग्रौर भत्ते ग्रधिनियम के ग्रन्तर्गत निर्धारित शर्तों के ग्राधार पर दिया जायेगा।

7. इन सदस्यों को, उनके नियत कार्य के सम्बन्ध में जबकि विधान सभा या विधान समिति, जिसके लिये उनकी सेवाएं ली गई हो, सभा में हो तो दैनिक भत्ता नहीं दिया जायेगा क्योंकि वे अपना दैनिक भत्ता हिमाचल प्रदेश विधान सभा सदःयों के वेतन ग्रीर भत्ते ग्रधिनियम, 1971 के ग्रधीन विधान सभा से लेंगे । यदि ये ऐसा प्रमाग पत्न दें कि ये इस नियत कार्य के निष्पादन में विशाद सभा या विधान सभा समिति की वैठक में भःग नहीं लिया और उन्होने इसके लिये विधान सभा से कोई दैनिक भत्ता प्रात नहीं किया है तो उन्हें निर्धारित दर पर दैनिक भत्ता दिन जायेगा।

गैर सरकारी सद यों को याता भःता के कारण यदि अतिरिक्त भुगतान हो गया हो तो हि0 प्र0 राजकोष नियनों के नियम 4-17 ग्रौर 6-1 में दिये गये प्रावधान पूर्ण रूपेण (म्थूटैटिस म्यूटैडिस) लागु होंगे।

सदस्य ऐसा बाला भत्ता, दैनि । भता ग्रीर परिवहन भ ता प्राप्त नहीं करेगा जिससे वह बिधान सभा की सदस्यता से अयोग्य हो जारे।

> ग्रादेश द्वारा, भक्त चन्द नेगी, वितायस्त एवं सचिव।

4. उप-कूलपति, हिमाचल प्रदेश कृषि बिश्वविद्यालय,

7. जनरल ग्राफिसर कमांिग, वैस्टन कमांड, शिमला का

6. ग्रप कमांडर, एन 0 सी 0सी 0 हैडक्वाटर, शिमला

श्रिक्षिपल, राजकीय उच्चतर पाठणाला (कन्ना),

9. प्रिंसिपल, राजकीय कन्या महाविद्यालय, शिमला

10 प्रिसिपल, राजकीय महाविद्यालय, हमीरपूर

12. निदेशक, एन 0 सी 0 सी 0, पंजाब, हरियाणा तथा

14. श्री ठा हर दा न घावरू, गांव मझेरना वाया तारागढ़,

15. श्री प्रताप सिंह चौहान, सैट नं 0 4, ाम भवन (स्टोक

16. केन्द्रीय सलाहकार समिति एन 0 सी 0 सी 0 का डायरैक्टर जनरल

प्रान्तीय सलाहकार समिति के विचारार्थ विषय निम्नलिखित होंगे :--

(1) प्रांतीय सलाहकार समिति समस्त एन 0 सी 0 सी 0 से सम्बन्धितः मामलों ग्रौर ऐसे मामलों को समिति को विचारार्थ भेजे जाने

(2) प्रान्तीय सलाहाकर सिमिति वर्ष में साधारणतया दो बार् इकर्ठी होगी, उन मामलों पर विचार करने

(ग्र) भारत सरकार एवम प्रदेश सरकार को निम्नलिखित मामलों

(1) प्रदेश में नए यूनिटों का सूजन एवम् वर्तमान यूनिटों का

(2) उन कालेज एवं स्कूजों का चयन जहां नये यूनिट ग्रथवा सब-

(3) सीनियर एवम जनियर डिवीजन में एन0 सी0 सी0

(4) कोई भी मामला जो केन्द्रीय सरकार अथवा प्रदेश सरकार

(ब) समिति सीनियर डिवीजन एन0 सी0 सी0 युनिटों के

निम्नलिखित मामत्रों पर निर्देश एवम सलाह देगी :--(1) एन 0 सी 0 सी 0 प्रशिक्षण में मुझाव एवम एन 0 सी 0 सी 0

ग्रथवा महानिदेशक, एन0 सी0 सी0 सहमति की विचारार्थ

ग्राफिसर कमोडिंगों एवम् निर्देशक शिक्षा विभाग को

अधिकारियों की नियंक्ति के लिए चयन।

11. मख्याध्यापक, उच्च पाठणाला, रामप्र

पलेस के समीप), शिमला-2।

17. वित्तीय सचिव, हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार 18. करनल राम पाल (सेवा निवृत), नया

जवाहर नगर, कोठी 50-144, जलन्धर।

19. उप निदेश र (फिजिकल ऐज् केशन), शिक्षा

हिमाच्ल प्रदेश, चण्डीगढ।

13. श्री ज्ञान चन्द मिन्हास, पालनप्र

तहसोल बैजनाथ।

एक सदस्य।

िदेशालय शि ला ।

पर सनाह देगी।

युनिट खोलने हैं।

प्रायः प्रदेग से सम्बन्धित हैं।

पर सिकारिश एवम् सलाह देगी :--

पालमपुर ।

प्रतिनिधि ।

धर्मशाला ।

5. शिक्षा निदेशक, हिमाचल प्रदेश

सदस्य

सर्दस्य

सदस्य

सःस्य

सदस्य.

सदस्य

मंद स्य

सदस्यू

सदस्प

सदस्य

सदस्य

सदस्य

एन 0 सी 0 सी 0

द्वारा मनोनीत

किया जाएगा। सदस्य

**ग्रतिरिक्त सदस्य** 

सदस्य सचिव

# BOARD OF DEPARTMENTAL EXAMINATIONS

#### NOTIFICATION

Fair Lawn, Shimla-171012, the 29th June, 1984

No. HIPA (Exam.) 61/80. The Departmental Examination for the Junior Engineers and other equivalent ranks of the H. P. State Electricity Board, will be held on the dates and times specified below. The examination will take place at the H. P. Institute of Public Administration, Fair Lawn, Shimla-171012. For details of the examinations, the intending examinees are requested to refer to the Regulations for the Departmental Examination for Civil, Mechanical & Electrical Junior Engineers and equivalent ranks of the H. P. State Electricity Board, 1976.

Sr. Day Subject Date No. Accounts 29-8-1984 Wednesday (F.N.) 30-8-1984 (F.N.) Office procedure 2. Thursday

forenoon session will start at 10.00 A.M. sharp. The officers who intend to appear in the examination should send their applications on the prescribed forms through their head of department, H. P. State Electricity Board by 4th of August, 1984. Applications received after the due date will not be considered at all.

> SHANTA CHAUHAN, Secretary.

# CO-OPERATIVE DEPARTMENT

# NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171002, the 23rd June, 1984 No. 4 13/71-Coop(s)III.—In exercise of the powers

conferred under section 100 of the Himachal Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1968 (Act No. 3 of 1969) the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to exempt the Himachal Pradesh State Co-operative Bank Ltd. from the operations of section 34 of the Himachal Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1968 read with rule 39 of the Himachal Pradesh Co-operative Rules, 1971 in so far as they relate to the election of Directors of the Bank from the following districts upto 22-8-1984:-

- Kinnaur District. 2.
- Shimla District. 3. Sirmaur District.
- Bilaspur District. Mandi District.
- Chamba District.

By order, ATTAR SINGH. Secretary.

णिक्षा विभाग

ग्रधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 24 मई, 1984

कमांक णिक्षा-एफ (4) 17/76-ए.--राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, हिमाचल प्रदेश में एन 0 सी 0 सी 0 के लिए प्रान्तीय सलाहकार समिति का तत्काल गठन करके इसके लिए निम्न सदस्यों की सहर्ष नियुक्ति करते हैं:---

1. णिक्षा मन्त्री

2, सचिव, शिक्षा, हिमाचल प्रदेश रूरकार

उप-कुलपति, हिमाचल प्रदेश विश्वविद्यालय, शिमला

ग्रध्यक्ष

सदस्य

भेजे।

कैंडिटों के लिए प्रशिज्ञण सुविधाएं। (2) एन 0 सी 0 सी 0 युनिटों में अनुशासन में मुधार। (3) केडिटों एवम् अधिकारियों का सामान्य कल्याण । 🔭 🎢 🖰

गैर सरकारी सदस्यों को यात्रा एवम दैनिक भत्ता संाग्न श्रनुलग्नक (परिशिट) में निहित दरों/निदेशों ग्रनुसार दिया जाएगा:—

'परिशिष्ट'

ति कं गैर-सरकारी सदस्यों को यात्रा भत्ता/दैनिक भत्ता आदि की दरें

1. यात्रा भत्ता :

(1) रेल यात्रा.--इनके साथ प्रथम श्रेणी के सदस्य श्रफसरों का वर्ताव होगा ग्रीर इन्ह प्रथम श्रेणी के ग्रफसरों की तरह संरक्षित स्थान जो रेल विभाग ने उपलब्ध कर रखा होगा का पाल माना जायेगा श्रीर ये जिस ' . . भन्य

श्रेणी में यात्रा करें उस या वातविक िराया दिया जाएगा। लेकिन यह किर या प्रथम श्रेगी के अधिकारियों के जैसे ही किराते के बढ़ाना चाहिए।

(2) सड्क य ता .-- उन्हें साधारण बस द्वारा एक स्थान लेकर याता क नै पर बासावि विकराया िलेगा और यदि वे मोटर साइक । या स्कटर पर यात्रा करेंगे तो उन्हें पहाड़ी क्षेत्र में सफर पर 43 पैसे तथा मैदानी में 33पैसे प्रति किलोमीटर की दर से माइलेज ब्रलाऊं। मिलेश ब्रीर यदि गाना अपनी कार या पूरी टेक्सी लेकर की गई हो तो सःस्सों को 🕏 हो क्षेत्र की याजा दर 1.40 तथा मैदानः क्षेत्र में 1.10 रुपये प्रति किलोमें टर की दर से सड़रु मील दूरी मत्ता मिलेगा ।

(इसमें हिम चल की 33 प्रतिशत बढ़ौतरी भी शामिल है)।

- (3) उपरो त व स्तविक किराये ग्रोर माइ रेज के ग्रतिरिक्त सदस्य ग्रपने स्थ ई निवा। स्थान से बाहर रहने के दिनों का दैनि : भ ता भी लेगा। इसमें घ से चलने का दिन ग्रंर घर में पहुचने का दिन भी शःमिल है। इसकी दर वड़ी होगी जो कि राज्य के प्रथम दर्जे के ग्रिध-कारी की है।
- 2. दैनिक भत्ता -- (1) गैर-स कारी सद यों को बैठक में भाग लेने पर प्रतिदित दैनिक यात्रा भत्ता उसी उच्चतम द पर देव होगा जिस दर से कि प्रथम श्रेणी के ग्रधिकरी का ग्रपने स्यानानुस र देय होता है।
- (2) दैनिक भते के प्रतिरिक्त बैठक े दिनों में सदस्य नीचे लिखी दरों पर न्थन से बार बोर्ड के काम के लिए यात्रा करने पर यात्रा के िनों बाहर ठहरने के लिए दैनिक भत्ते हा भी
- 🎤 (क) यदिमुख्य स्थान से अनुपस्थिति ६ घण्टे से अधिक न हो।
  - (ख) अगर मुख्य स्थान से अनुपस्थिति 6 घण्टे से बढ जाये िन्तु 12 घण्टों से अधिक न बढ़े · · 70 সিরিয়ার
  - (ग) ﴿प्रंगर प्रनुपस्थिति मुख्य स्थान से 12 घण्टे से . . पूर्ण दैनिक भत्ता ग्रधिक बढे
- 3. परिवहन भता.--जिस तथान पर बोर्ड की बैठक होगी वहीं रहने वाले स,स्य के उप-दरों परिवहन तथा दैनिक भत्ते नहीं निलेंगे, ग्रपित उन्हें केवल मात्रा उस स्थान पर ग्राने के लिए सवारी का वास्तविक
- किराया मिलेगा, पर वह भी 10 रु० प्रतिदिन से अधिक न होगा। इससे पूर्व कि यह किराबा उन्हें दिया जाये सम्बन्धित अधिकारी को बि।रण ग्रादि मांग कर इन बारे पूर्ण छानबीन कर लेना चाहिए ग्रौर सुनि चित हो जाना चाहिए कि वास्तविक खर्च मांगी गई राशि से कम नहीं है। यदि ऐसा सदस्य प्रपनी कार का प्रयोग करें तो उसको सडक मील भत्ता प्रथम श्रेगी के अधिकारियों के समान दर पर मिलेगा परन्त यह 10 रुपये प्रतिदिन से प्रधिक नहीं बढ़ ना चाहिए।
- 4. यह याता भत्ता दैनिक भत्ते सःस्य को तभी मिलेंगे यदि वह इस बात का प्रमाण पत्न प्रस्तुत करेगा कि उसे उसी यात्रा के लिए कि ही अन्य सरकारे स्रोत से परिवान तथा दैनिक भर्ते नहीं लिए
- 5. सदस्य अपनी स्थाई रिहायश के स्थान से जिसे उसे पहले ही बानिए हीगा बोर्ड की बैठक होने वाले स्थान तक बैठक में स्राने जाने के लिए बास्तव में की गई यात्रा के लिए ही यात्रा भन्ने का हकदार होगा। यदि कोई सदस्य अपने स्थाई स्थान के अतिरिक्त अय स्थान से बोर्ड की बैठक में उपस्थित होते के लिए यात्रा क ता है ग्रौर ग्राने स्थाई स्थान के बताये बैठक में गुप्त होने पर किती ग्रन्य ही स्थात का वापित जाता है तो यात्रा भत्ता या तो वास्तव में तय किए गये फा नले के ग्राधा र पर मिलेगा या फिर स्थाई रिहा । श से बैठ ह के स्थान के फासले के जो भी कम हो, मिलेगा।
- 6. संसद सदस्य उसद सदस्य जब कमेटी के कार्य के लिए रेलगाड़ी सड़क, वायुपाः या जलयान प्रेयाचा करेंगे तो उनको यात्रा तथा देतिक भत्ता उसी हिस व से मिलेगा जो ि उन्हें संतद सदस्य वेतन तथा क्रावार पर भ:ता क्र धनि । म के क्रन्तर्गत समय-समय पर दिए जाते हैं ।
- ं 7. विधान सभा सदःय.---विधान सभा सदस्य जब कमेटी के काम ले कोई यात्रा भरता उसी दर से मिलेगा जो विधान सभा स.स्य वेतन तथा भत्ते प्रधिनियम के ग्रन्तर्गत सभय समय पर उन हो मिलते हैं।

- 8. जब सदस्य विधार सभा सत्र था विधान सभा की किसी कमेटी के काम से यात्रा करेंगे तो वे कमेटी के कार्य के लिए दैनिक भत्ते के ग्रित्र । री नहीं होंगे। फिर भी यदि इस व त का प्रमाण है कि रदन के सन या कमेटी की बैठक में वे किसी कारणवण उन-ियत नहीं हो पाये और न ही उन्होंने उससे बाह्य भरता लिया तो वे निर्धारित दर पर दैनिक भत्ता के अधिकारी होंगे।
- हिमाचल प्रदेश ट्रेजर कृष्ण के प्रावधान जं नियम 4.17 और 6.1 में गैर सरकारी सद यों की याला-भत्ते को अधिक अदायगी के ब रे में है. थोड़े से परिवर्तन के साथ यथावन गैर-सरकारी स.स्यों पर भी लागृहांगे।

10 ऐसा कोई भी यावा भरा या दैनिक भरता जि में परि-वहन मत्भा भी शाभिल है, नहीं लेंगे जो उन्हें भिवान समा की सदस्यता से ग्रयोगः ठहरा दे ।

11. गैर-परकारी सदस्यों के यात्रा भत्ता विली पर हस्ताक्षर करने के लिए निक्त्य । प्रधि को इसोडर एन ० सी० सी०, यूर्य हैड-क्व टेर, शिमला-4 तथा यात्रा भरता आयुक्त, हिशाचल प्रदेग माने

12. इस बारे खर्च अर्थ "277-ऐजुके मन जी स्पोर्टस एडड यूव बैलफेयर (1) एन0 सी0 स:0 बनरॅंक एटैबलिंगमैंट (नान प्यान) टो." में से किया जाएगा !

> म्रादेशानुसार, एस 0 एस 0 सिद्ध सचिव (शिक्षा)।

# HOME (B) DEPARTMENT

# NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171002, the 30th June, 1984

No. HOME-II(B)3-3/79.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to accord ex-post-facto sanction to the grant of leave in favour of Shri Justice T. R. Handa, Judge of the High Court of Himachal Pradesh,

subject to verification of title of leave, as under:—
(i) 14 days commuted leave w.e.f. 31st May, 1984 to 13th June, 1984; and

37 days leave on full allowances w.e.f. 14th June, 1984 to 20th July, 1984.

> A. N. VIDYARTHI. Secretary.

श्रम विमाग

#### ग्रविसचना

## णिमला-171002, 10 सितम्बर, 1981

संख्या 8-20/80-श्रम.--ग्रांद्योगिक विवाद ग्रधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का अविनियम संस्ता 14) की वारा 17 के अनुसरण म राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश निम्नलिखिय वर्गों के मध्य चल रहे विवादों पर प्रीजाइडिंग श्रौफिपर, श्रम न्यायालय हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा दिए गये निम्नलिखित निर्णयों, जो सरकार को 23-4-81 को प्राप्त हुए थे, को प्रकाणित करने का सहर्ष ग्रादेश देते हैं। संख्या

#### विवरण

- 1. Shri Dina Nath Koli v. Executive Engineer, H. P. P.W.D., Irrigation and Public Health Division,
  - Rattan Chand v. H.P.R.T.C., Mandi. Shri Munshi Ram Conductor v. H.P.R.T.C.,
  - Dharamshala. H.P.R.T.C. Shri Saran Singh Conductor v.
- Dharamshala Shri Luxmi Dutt Sharma v. Solan Food Prodetus, Solan.

- Sh i Devi Saran, Shamshi, v. I/c Timber Division, H.P. Forest Corporation, Shamshi,
- Chand Dharamshala v. 7 Shri Ramel
- Manager, HPRTC, Shimla. Shri Ramel Chand, Dharamshala v. Asstt. Managers,
- HPRTC, Dharamshala.
- Shri Hem Singh Conductor v. -do-
- 10. Shri Saujoo Ram, D.iver r. Shri M. S. Jain, A.C.F., Dehradoon v. H.P. State Forest Corporation. Shimla with reference to case No. 49/79.
- Shri Satish Kumar Steno, C.C.F., v. -do-12
- Shri Ranjit Singh s/o Mohindo Singh v. Satnam Chemical Industries, Baddi. H.P. State Forest Corporation Employees Union,
- Shimla v. H.P. State Forest Corporation.
- Shri Om Parkash, Mechanic P. G.M., H.P.R.T.C. 15.

# Before Shri H.D. Kainthla, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Sundernagar, Himachal Pradesh

Application No. 13 of 1980. Instituted on 5-7-1980. Decided on 16-4-1981.

Shri Dina Nath Koli s/o Shri Ganga Ram, c/o office of Bhartiya Mazdoor Sangh, 47/9 Bangla Mohalla. Mandi, Himachal Pradesh ... Applicant.

#### Versus

The Executive Engineer, H.P. P.W.D., Irrigation and Public Health Division, Palampur (Kangra), Himachal Pradesh ... Respondent.

Application under section 33-C (2) of Industrial Disputes Act

# ORDER

Thereply on the respondent's side has been filed today. Factual position is practically admitted as alleged by the applicant. The only thing added is that the applicant was taken over a daily wage worker from 16-1-1978 when the water channel (kuhal) was taken over by the Government. It is further admitted that the post of Work-Supervisor in the scale of Rs. 100-160 on work charge basis was created by the Governor of Himachal Pradesh w.e. f. 12-7-1979 for the applicant. In this behalf the letter per copy marked "X" from the Secretary P.W.D., was issued under the signatures of the Deputy Secretary addressed to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Shimla who in turn endorsed the said letter to Shri V.P. Mongra, X.E.N. Irrigation and Public Health Division, Palampur with the direction that

the applicant Dina Nath may be paid the salary from the date of the sanctioning of the scale of pay as Work-Supervisor to him and report in that regard be sent to the

office of the Chief Engineer. It was also further directed

that the applicant be informed accordingly.

- Here it may be stated that the Government took over the management and rights of a kuhal (irrigation water channel) known as Kripal Chand Kuhal in Palampur Sub-Division of Kangra district w.e.f. 16-1-1978. The applicant was already working there as head Kohli for the last twelve years. Accordingly his services also came to be taken over by the Government as such. Firstly he was paid on the daily wages rates and afterwards a regular salary scale for the said job as 'Work Supervisor' on work charge basis was created as noticed above and admitted on the side of the respondent.
- The stand now taken on the respondent's side is that the applicant did not fulfil the educational and age qualifications and so he was not formally appointed against the post. It is not denied that the applicant was working in the department at the time of issuing of the above orders of the Government regarding the creation of post and release of that post's scale to the applicant. Of course he was working at that time on daily wages.
- Objection regarding the educational and age qualifications of the applicant cannot be raised in this case as

cant, as a special measure, to meet the special situation created by taking over the kuhal. So that qualifications of education and age stood relaxed in case of the applicant. The Government had passed the orders in specific terms with release of the scale of pay from the date of the creation of the post, in favour of the applicant who was alread y' working in the department over the job. Nothing more remained to be done by any subordinate authority to question the orders of the Government in fact the post was , created specially by name for the applicant. That being so, the applicant was entitled to the pay scale of the post of Work Supervisor in the scale of Rs. 103-160 with necessary addition of allowances and other empluments prevailing for such scale of pay on work charge budget.

the post was specially created and released for the appli-

5. The Chief Engineer, is said to have addressed another letter dated 17-8-1974 to the Superintending Engineer, P.W.D., Dharamshala, with respect to the above referred matter of applicant's appointment as work-charge, Work Supervisor in the scale of Rs. 10.0—160 directing him to do the needful in the matter of appoint-

There is preliminary objection raised on the res-

- pondents' side that the matter of claim of empluments in the scale of Rs. 100-160 as Work Supervisor to the applicant cannot be entert tined under section 33-C (2) Industrial Disputes Act. But I find that this objection is untenable because the only question involved in this claim matter is of computation of the pay emoluments according to the admitted scale sanctioned in favour of the applicant by the Government. So it is a matter which clearly falls under these provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act. He has been paid lesser pay on the basis of daily wage worker.
- The applicant has claimed Rs. 1,441/- being received less by him after adjusting the wages received by him from 12-7-1979 till 31-5-1980 as a daily wage worker. There is 4 no contrary contention on this score in the reply of the respondent. Accordingly, I order that the respondent shall pay w.e. f. 12-7-1979 to 31-5-1980 i.e. till the date of this application Rs. 1,441 as salary arrears.
- The copy of this order be sent to the parties. The record of the case be sent to the Secretary, Labour Department, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

Announced.

H. D. KAINTHLA, Presiding Officer, Labour Court Dated 16th April, 1981. for State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Sundernagar.

Before Shri H.D. Kainthla, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for State of Himachal Pradesh Circuit Sundernagar

Petition No. 16 of 1980

H.R.T.C., Mandi. Rattan Chand

21-1-1981 Present: Shri P. S. Dogra A. R. for the applicant Shri Jassu Ram Supdt. for HRTC, Mandi.

Reply has been filed yesterday when the applicant wasnot present. Today applicant's representative is present. The applicant should file replication tomorrow explaining the Rules and the basis for monetary claim in respect of compensatory leave and secondly whether he was refused this availing of compensatory leave due to him while he was serving the respondent/Corporation.

> Sd/-Section Officer, Labour Court, H.P.

22-1-1981 Present: Shri P. S. Dogra A. R. for the applicant; Shri Jassu Ram, Supdt. H. R. T. C., Mandi on behalf of respondnent.

#### ORDER

In this application under section 33-C(2) of Industrial Disputes Act the applicant as retired driver is claiming monetary benefit for 52 days of accumulated compensatory leave on account of non-availing of restdays which were due to him during his tenure of service. The applicant has not given the amount of compensation in this behalf which he will be entitled to get.

- 2. The provisions of weekly rest and compensatory days of rest is provided under section 19 and 20 of the Motor Transport Workmen Act, 1961. Under these provisions one day of rest for every seven days shall have to be provided to all motor transport workmen. Under section 20 aforesaid the worker shall be allowed within the month in which the days of rest are due to him compensatory days of rest or within two months following that month.
- 3. From the above it is clear that there is no existing and substantive right is convertible into money benefit on account of non-availing the rest days or the compensatory leave in lieu thereof, during the tenure of service by a worker. Such a claim is not covered under section 33-C(2) of Industrial Disputes Act. It is a different matter if it may give rise to a regular Industrial Dispute and reference thereof. Therefore, I dismiss this claim as not tenable, No order as to costs.

Announced. Circuit at Sundernagar.

Dated 22nd January, 1981.

Sd/-Presiding Officer.

Before Shri H.D. Kainthla, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Sundernagar

Petition No. 12 of 1979

Shri Munshi Ram, Conductor, HRTC, Dharamshala ... Petitioner.

Versus

The Assistant Manager, HRTC, Dharamshala .. Respondent

Application under Section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

#### ORDER

The applicant put in his claim for T.A., over time and night out allowance for the months of September, October and November, 1978 as Conductor in Himachal Road Transport Corporation (HRTC) Dharamshala region. Strangely enough he did not give the amount of the allowance due to him for the aforesaid period.

- 2. The respondent H.R.T.C. Assistant Manager, Dharamshala was summoned in the case for 9th June, 1979. He put in the reply dated 30-6-1979 there the applicant had not submitted his T.A., night out allowance claim for Suptember to November, 1978.
- 3. This court ordered that the applicant should submit his precise claim vide order dated 9th June, 1979. Accordingly the applicant submitted his claim per Ex P1 to P3. for September, October and November, 1978.
  - 4. The following issues were framed:
    - (1) Whether the applicant is entitled to night out allowance for the months of September to November, 1978 for Rs. 116.00 Rs, 192.50 and Rs. 161.00 respectively or to Rs. 5.85, nil for October and Rs. 21.00 for November, 1973 as admitted by the respondents. Onus parties.
    - (2) Relief.
- 5. On the applicant side no evidence whatsoever was produced except tendering the claim bills Ex. P1 to Ex. P3. The applicant has given the timings of duties performed out of the headquarters and put the amount of

TA/out night duties allowance without giving rates, arbitrarily whereas the respondent has admitted the timings of such out of headquarters duties as correct as given by the applicant and has checked the claim and found due amount according to rules applicable.

- 6. Shri Manjit Singh, Juniot-Auditor-cum-Accountant HRTC Dharamshala has appeared in evidence and referred to the TA Rules and instruction per copy RWI/A to RWI/F exhibited in case No. 47/79 Hem Singh vs. HRTC. Thus it is found that the respondent has pressed the TA/out night duty allowance claimed by the applicant according to rules applicable and the amount due is lying with the Cashier of Dharamshala HRTC office which the applicant could receive any time.
- 7. The applicant had made a vague claim and produced no evidence to substantiate and arbitrary claim as submitted during the pendency of this petition.
- 8. In result this petition is dismissed without any order as to costs. The applicant, however, can receive the amount of Rs. 26.85 from HRTC office, Dharamshala any time during working hours.

Announced.

Dated 21st January, 1931.

H.D. KAINTHLA, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for State of H.P., Circuit at Sundernagar.

Before Shri H.D. Kainthla, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Sundernagar

#### Petition No. 14 of 1979

Shri Saran Singh, Conductor, HRTC, Dharamshala unit c/o The Mandi Hills Transport Labour Union, Thanchara Mohalla, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh

Versus

Versus

The Assistant Manager, Himachal Pradesh Road Transport Corporation, Dharamshala Unit ... Respondent.
Application under section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

#### ORDER

The applicant put in his claim for T.A., over time and aight out allowance for the months of October and November, 1978 as Conductor in Himachal Road Transport Corporation (HRTC), Dhatamshala region. Strangely enough he did not give the amount of the allowance due to him for the aforesaid period.

- 2. The respondent HRTC, Assistant Manager, Dharamshala was summoned in the case for 9th June, 1979. He put in the reply dated 30-6-1979 that the applicant had submitted his TA/night out allowance claim for November, 1978 which was checked up and passed for Rs. 19.45 paise only and no claim whatsoever was received for October, 1978 in this behalf from the applicant.
- 3. This Court ordered that the applicant should submit his precise claim vide order dated 9th June, 1979. Accordingly the applicant submitted his claim per Ex.P1 for October, 1978 for an amount of Rs. 117.75 paise and for the month of November, 1978 Ex. P2 for an amount of Rs. 188.
- 4. On the respondents side as earlier stated November claim was found due for Rs. 19.45 paise and October months claim for Rs. 19.25 paise.
  - 5. The following issues were framed:-
    - (1) Whether the applicant is entitled to night out allowance for October, 1978 and November. 1978 for the amount of Rs. 117.75 and Rs. 188.00 respectively or to Rs. 38.70 paise only i. e., Rs. 19.25 and Rs. 19.35 paise respectively? Dues parties as admitted by respondents.
    - (2) Relief.

- On the applicants side no evidence whatsoever was produced except tendering the claim bills Ex. P1 and Ex. P 2. The applicant has given the timings of duties performed out of the headquarters and put the amount of T.A./out night duties allowance without giving rates, arbitrarily, whereas the respondent has admitted the timings of such duties out of headquarters as correct as given by the applicant. They have checked the claim and found due as shown above according to rules appli-
- 7. Shri Manjit Singh, Junior Auditor-cum-Accountant HRTC, Dharamshala has appeared in evidence and referred to the TA Rules and instruction per copy Ex. RW1 A to RW1/E, exhibited in case No. 47/79 Hem Singh versus Assistant Manager, HRTC. Thus it is found that the respondent has passed the TA/out night duty allowance claimed by the appucant according to rules applicable and the amount due is lying with the Cashier of Dharamshala, HRTC, Office which the applicant could receive any time.
- 8. The applicant had made a vague claim and produced no evidence to substantiate and arbitrary claim as submitted during the pendency of his petition.
- 9. In result this petition is dismissed without any order to costs. The applicant, however, can receive the amount of Rs. 38.70 paise on account of his T.A. claim for October and Nonweber, 1978 as offered by the respon-

The file be sent to Secretary, Labour Department, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

Announced.

H. D. KAINTHLA. Presiding Officer; Labour Court Dated 21st January, 1981. for State of H.P., Circuit at Sundernagar.

Before Shri H.D. Kainthla, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Sundernagar

Petition No. 11 of 1980

Devi Saran son of Shri Ladhar Mani and four others, Forest Colony Shamshi, P. O. Shamshi, Kullu (H.P.). ... Applicant.

l'ersus

Incharge, Timber Division, H.P. Forest State Corporation. Shamshi (Kullu) . Respondent. Application under section 33-C(2) of Industrial Disputes Act.

22-1-1981. Present: Shri P. L. Berry, A.R. for the applicants; Shri B. S. Bajwa, Officer Incharge, Mechanical unit, Shamshi (Kullu) for the Respondent.

# ORDER

The five applicants Sarvshri Devi Saran, Mast Ram. Chanan Singh, Sansar Singh and Lek Raj have preferred this application under sub-section (2) of section 33-C of the Industrial Disputes Act claiming salary as drivers of the trucks which work they are doing under, the respondent Corporation in place of the IV class employees scale as Cleaner i.e. 70-95 being paid to them.

The respondent/Forest Corporation has put in written statement that the applicants are on deputation from the Forest Department of Himachal Pradesh Government with the Forest Corporation vide notification dated 18-6-1978 copy marked 'X' on the terms and conditions provided therein. In this way the applicants are claiming wages in respect of a different category of posts than the post against which they have been taken on deputation by the respondents from the Forest Department of Himachal Pradesh Government. This claim of the applicants for higher wages as Drivers instead of the wages being paid to them as cleaner, is not a matter of computation of money benefit available to them on the present existing right. The scale of cleaner given in the annexure to the claim petition by the applicants is Rs. 70—95 while the scale of drivers is Rs. 100—160 p. m. basic. So apparently the claim for a different category of post on a higher scale cannot be granted under section 33-C(2) of Industrial Disputes Act. The applicants can raise a regular Industrial Dispute if they so desire.

- 3. So this claim petition is rejected without any order to costs. However, the respondents/Forest Corporation in their written statement has stated that the applicants claim for higher wages as drivers can be considered by the Corporation in consultation with their parent department in case there are regular representations to this effect that they are working as drivers in the Forest Corporation but are being paid as cleaner/conductor.
- Accordingly, the respondent/Forest Corporation may consider their cases for higher wages taking this claim petition filed before Labour Court as a representa-tion for the higher salary scale as drivers, which work they are carrying out with the respondents.
- A copy of this order may be given to the Officer Incharge, Mechanical Unit, Timber Extraction Division, Shamshi, Kullu for necessary action and consideration by the Corporation.

This file be sent to Secretary, Labour Department, Government of Himachal Pradesh.

Announced.

H. D. KAINTHLA,

Dated 22nd January, 1981. Presiding Officer, Labour Court for State of H.P., Circuit at Sundernagar.

Before Shri H.D. Kainthla, Presiding Officer, Labour Coart for the State of H.P., Circuit at Sundernagar

Petition No. 16 of 1979

Remal Chand, Driver, Himachal Road Transport Cor-.. Petitioner. poration, Dharamshala Versus

The General Manager, Himachal Road Transport Corporation, Shimla.

The Divisional Manager, Himachal Road Transport Corporation, Dharamshala.

The Assistant Manager, Himachal Road Transport Corporation, Dharamshala .. Respondents.

Application under section 33-C (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

#### ORDER

The applicant put in his claim for T. A., overtime and night out allowance for the months of January, 1977, May, 1977 to September, 1977 as Driver in Himachal Road Transport Corporation (HRTC), Dharamshala region. Strangely enough he did not give the amount of the allowance due to him for the aforesaid period.

- 2. The respondent H.R.T.C. Assistant Manager, Dharamshala has put in the reply dated 30-6-1979 on that the applicant has submitted his T.A./ 10-10-1979 night out allowance claim for August and September, 1977 only. That the same had been checked and passed for payment as admissible under the rules. on behalf of the applicant, the amount has not been collected. He can get the same any time within working
  - 3. The following issues were framed:-
    - (1) Whether the applicant is entitled to night out allowance for the months of January, 1977, and May to August, 1977 Rs. 785.00 as shown in the Annexure 1 to 5 of the application or to Rs. 207.85 as admitted by the respondent? Onus parties.

(2) Relief.

- 4. The applicant appeared in evidence and teadered the claim bills Ex. P I to Ex. P 5. The applicant has given the tilmings of duties performed out of the Headquarters and put the amount of T.A/Out night duties allowance without giving rates, arbitrary whereas the respondent has admitted the timings of such out of Headquarters diffies correct as given by the applicant and has worked out the claim and found due according to rules applicable per copies Ex. R I to R 5.
- 5/ Shri Manjit Siagh, Junior Auditor-cum-Accountant, H.R.T.C., Dharamshala has appeared in evidence and referred to the T.A. Rules and instruction per copy Ex. RWI/A to Ex. RWI/E exhibited in Ham Singh versus to Rs. 207.85 paise in place of Rs. 785.09 shown by the applicant. Thus it is found that the respondent has passed the T.A./Out night duty allowance claimed by the applicant according to rules applicable and the amount due is which the applicant could receive any time.
- 6. The applicant had made a vague claim and produced no evidence except making his own statement to substantiate the arbitrary claim as submitted during the pendency of the petition.
- 7. In result this petition is dismissed without any order to costs. The applicant, however, can receive the amount of Rs. 207.85 paise offered by the respondent.

Announced.

H.D. KAINTHLA,
Dated 21st January, 1981. Presiding Officer, Labour, Court
for State of Himachal Pradesh
Circuit at Sundernagar.

Before Shri H.D. Kainthla, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Sundernagar

Petition No. 7 of 1979

Shii Ramel Chand, Driver, H.R.T.C, Dharamshala Unit ... Petitioner.

Versus

The Assistant Manager, H.R.T.C., Dhatamshala, Unit ... Respondent

Application under section 33-C (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

## **ORDER**

The applicant put in his claim for T.A., over time and night out allowance for the months of September to November, 1978 as driver in Himachal Road Transport Corporation (H.R.T.C), Dharamshala region. Strangely enough the did not give the amount of the allowance due to him for the aforesaid period.

- 2./The respondents H.R.T.C. Assistant Manager, Dharamshala was summoned in the case for 9th of June, 1979. He put in the reply dated 30-6-1979 that the applicant had submitted his T.A./night out allowance claim for Rs. 675 which was checked and passed for payment in the sum of Rs. 555.30 paise. But none collected it on benalf of the applicant.
  - 3. This Court ordered that the applicant should submit his precise claim vide order dated 9th June, 1979. Accordingly the applicant submitted his cliam per Ex.P 1 to P 3 for Rs. 675.
    - 3. The following issues were framed:—
      - Whether the applicant is entled to night out allowance from September, 1978 to November, 1978 of Rs. 675.00 or to Rs. 555.30 as admitted by the respondents? Onus Parties.
      - 2. Relief.
  - 4. On the applicant side no evidence was produced except making his own statement and tendering the claim

- bills Ex. P 1 to Ex. P 3. The applicant has given the timings of duties performed out of the Headquarters and put the amount of TA/Out night duties allowing without giving rates arbitrary whereas the respondent has admitted the timings of such out of headquarters duties as correct as given by the applicant and has checked the claim and found due as shown above according to rules applicable.
- 5. Shri Manjit Singh, Junior Auditor-cum-Accountant, H.R.T.C. Dharamshala has appeared in evidence and referred to the T.A rules and instruction per copy Ex. RWI/A to RWI/E exhibited in case No. 47/79, Hem Singh versus Assistant Manager, H.R.TC. Thus it is found that respondent has passed the T.A./out night duty allowance the claimed by the applicant according to rules applicable and the amount due is lying with the Cashier of Dauranshala H.R.T.C. office which the applicant could receive any time.
- 6. The applicant has made a vague claim and p. sluced no evidence to substantiate the arbitrary claim as submitted by him.
- 7. In result this petition is dismissed without any order to cost. The applicant, however, can receive the amount of Rs. 555.39 or account of his T.A. claim for September to November, 1978 as offered by the respondent.

This file be sent to the Secretary, Labour Department, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

Announced.

H. D. KAINTHLA, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for State of Himachal Pradesh, Cricuit at Sundernagar.

Dated 21st January, 1981

Before Shri H.D. Kainthla. Presiding Officer, Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Sundernagar

Petition No. 47 of 1979

Shri Hem Singh, Conductor, HRTC, Dharamshala ... Petitioner.

#### Versus

The Assistant Manager, HRTC, Dharamshala ... Respondent.

Application under section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Dispute Act.

# ORDER

The applicant put his claim for T.A., overtime and night out allowance for the months of September to December, 1978 and January to May, 1979, as Conductor in Himachal Pradesh Road Transport Corporation (HRTC), Dharamshala region. Strangely enough he did not give the amount of the allowance due to him for the aforesaid period.

- 2. The respondent, HRTC, Assistant Manager, Dharamshala has put in the reply dated 4-11-1979 on 7-11-1979 that the applicant had submitted his T.A.night out allowance claim for the period September. 1978 to May. 1979 except for Oetober. 1978. That the same had been checked and passed for payment as admissible under the rules, but on behalf of the applicant, the amount has not been collected. He can get the same any time within working hours.
  - 3. The following issues were framed:-
    - Whether any amount on account of night allowance or overtime wages is due to the

applicant for the period September to December, 1978 and January to May, 1979? If so, how much? OPR.

- 7 Relief
- 4. The applicant appeared in evidence tendering the claim bills Ex. P I to Ex. P.9. The applicant has given the timings of duties performed out of the Headquarters and put the amount of TA./out night duties allowance without giving rate arbitrary whereas the respondent has admitted the timings of such out of Headquarters duties correct as given by the applicant and has worked out the claim and found due according to rules applicable.
- 5. Shi i Manjit Singh, Junior Auditor-cum-Accountant, HRTC, Dharamshala has appeared in evidence and referred to the T.A. rules and instruction per copy Ex.RWI/A to RWI/E. According to him the applicants claim comes to Rs. 572.70 paise in place of Rs. 1,954.50 shown by the applicant. Thus it is found that the respondent has passed the T.A./out night duty allowance claimed by the applicant according to rules applicable and the amount due is lying with the Cashier of Dharamshala, H.R.T.C. office which the applicant could receive any time.
- 6. The applicant had made a vague claim and produced no evidence except making his own statement to substantiate the arbitrary claim as submitted during the pendency of this petition.
- 7. In result this petition is dismissed without any order to costs. The applicant, however, can receive the amount of Rs. 572.70 paise offered by the respondent.

Announced.

Dated 21st January, 1981 H.D. KAINTHLA,
Presiding Officer, Labour Court,
for the State of Himachal Pradesh
Circuit at Sundernagar.

## Before Shri H. D. Kainthla, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Sundernagar

Petition No. 10 of 1979

Shri Souju Ram, Driver, HRTC, Dharamshala Unit ... Petitioner.

#### Versus

The Assistant Manager, HRTC, Dharamshala Unit ... Respondent,

Application under section 33-C (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

# ORDER

The applicant put his claim for T.A., over time and night out allowance for the months of September, October and November, 1978 as Driver in Himachal Road Transport Corporation (HRTC), Dharamshala region. Strangely enough he did not give the amount of the allowance due to him for the aforesaid period.

- 2. The respondent HRTC Assistant Manager, Dharamshala was summoned in the case for 9th June, 1979. He put in the reply dated 30-6-1979 that the applicant had not submitted his T.A./night out allowance claim for September to November, 1978.
- 3. This court ordered that the applicant should submit his precise claim vide order dated 9th June, 1979. Accordingly the applicant submitted his claim per Ex-P1 to P3 for September, October and November, 1978.
  - 4. The following issues were framed:—
    - Whether the applicant is entitled to night out allowance from September, 1978 to Nov-

- ember, 1978 of Rs. 609 or Rs. 33.50 as admitted by the respondent? O. parties.
- 2. Relief .
- 5. On the applicant side no evidence whatsoever was produced except tendering the claim bills Ex. P I to Ex. P 3. The applicant has given the timings of duffes performed out of the headquarters and put the amount of T.A./out night duties allowance without giving rates, arbitrary whereas the respondent has admitted the timings of such headquarters duties as correct as given by the applicant and has checked the claim and found due according to rules applicable.
- 6. Shri Manjit Singh, Junior Auditor-cum-Accountant, HRTC, Dharamshala has appeared in evidence and referred to the T.A. Rules and instruction per copy Ex.RWI/A to RWI/E exhibited in case No. 47/49 Hem Singh versus HRTC. Thus it is found that the respondent has passed the T.A./out night duty allowance claimed by the applicant according to rules applicable and the amount due is lying with the Cashier of Dharamshala H.R.T.C office which the applicant could receive any time.
- 7. The applicant has made a vague claim and produced no evidence to substantiate the arbitrary claim as submitted during the pendency of this petition.
- 8. In result this petition is dismissed without any order to cost. The applicant, however, can receive the amount of Rs. 33.50 p aise from the HRTC office, Dharamshala any time during working hours.

Announced.
Dated 21st January, 1981 H.D. KAINTHLA,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court
for the State of Himachal Pradesh
Circuit at Sundernagar.

Before the Presiding Officer, Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

#### Case No. 49/79

Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation Employees Union, Shimla ... Applicant.

#### Versus

Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation Ltd., Shimla .. Respondent

Application under Section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

#### **FURTHER ORDER**

In continuation to the order dated 22-5-1980, made in this claim petition, disposing of issues No. 1 to 3, the remaining issues No. 4 to 6 which are as under, are now taken up for determination.

- 4. Whether the decision of the respondent in reducing the bonus from 20% to 8.33% is arbitrary and illegal and the applicants are entitled to the restoration at the rate of 20%? OPP
- 5. Whether from 95% of the employees, the excess bonus of 8.33% has been recovered and if so, to what effect? OPR
  - 6. Relief:

FINDINGS ON THE AFORESAID ISSUES

Issue No. 4:

2. The respondent Fotest Corporation initially on 26-6-1976, per resolution copy of which is Ex. R 1, decided that the bonus at the rate of 8.33% be paid to the staff/officers working in head office and Nahan Factory. In respect of Bilaspur Rosin and Turpentine Factory the bonus at the rate of 4% was passed to be paid.

- 3. Against the above decision regarding bonus, the employees of the Head Office, Shimla, Rosin and Turpentine Factory Bilaspur and Nahan Factory represented that they may be paid bonus at higher rates. Thereupon the Forest Corporation Board revised the bouns rates for Nahan (R&T) Factory at the rate of 14.49% and for head office employees at the rate of 20% while the Bilaspur R & T Factory employees were allowed the same previous rate of 4% bonus. This change of bonus rates was done in the Forest Corporation Board meeting dated 27-4-1977. In this behalf statement of Shri P. D. Nanda, Assistant in the Office of the Managing Director Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation, Shimla (RWI) may be referred.
- 4. Again Bilaspur R & T Factory empolyees represented for higher bonus. Consequently the Corporation Board in its meeting dated 31-12-1977, per resolution copy of which is Ex. R. 5, revised the bonus to head office employees at the rate of 8.33% and brought at par with the Bilaspur Factory empolyees i. e. the latter were given 4.33% incentive production payment in addition to the earlier 4% bouns awards to them.
- 5. The head office empolyees have mide the claim that they are entitled to get the bonus at the rate of 20% as initially awarded to them, and that further reduction to 8.33% is arbitrary and uncalled for. They claim that on the existing right on the basis of the bonus schemes as initially approved by the respondent, entitled them to the monitary benefit computed accordingly under section 33-C (2) of Industrial Dispute Act.
- The contention on the side of the respondents is that they have rationalised the scheme of bonus payment to be at par for all the employees of the Corporation and that the loss suffered by the corporation do not justify the grant of 20% bonus to the head office employees. To the stand taken up by the respondent is not tenable by facts and under law. Firstly once the bouns having been awarded according to scheme, it could not be altered and revised unilaterally by the respondents without giving any hearing to the applicants employees of the head office. Recovering the amount of bonus already sanctioned and paid, from the applicants/head office employees was not only putting them to financial hardship but brought with penal civil consequences resulting in financial loss. So the principles of natural jutice were voilated in revising the bouns scheme to the determent of the applicants and existing and recognized right was denied to them without giving hearing.
- 7. Secondly the revised scheme of bonus appears to be arbitray adopted, causing reduction of 11.67% bonus to the head office employees on the ground of loss suffered by the corporation, as no loss what so ever was incurred by the respondent corporation for the relevant year of 1974-75 as shown in its First Annual Accounts and Reports which on the contrary shows the bonus payment is duly accounted for and still not profit amounts to Rs. 9,765.19. So there was no justification whatsoever far reducing the bonus on the ground of so called losses suffered by the corporation as indicated per Ex. R 5 Payment on dated 31-12-77. uniform basis to all the employees or the three units of the corporation i. e. (i) Head Office, (ii) Nahan R & T Factory and (iii) Bilaspur R & T Factory is also untenable per Ex. R 5, only the bonus of head office employees and Bilaspur Factory were brought at par but Nahan unit was not affected by this decision, thereby allowing them bonus as the rate of 14.49 per cent as decided by the respondents Corporation Board in its meeting dated issue is held in 27-4-1977. For the above, this affirmative.

#### Issue No. 5:

10. The fact that from 95 % of the employees, the amount of bonus in excess to 8.33 % has been recovered by the respondent corporation, in no way affects the right of refund of the same amount to the applicants.

## RELIEF:

- 11. For the foregiong findings on the issues, the applicants/head office employees of the corporation at Shimla are to be paid the amount of bonus deducted and recovered from them at the rate of 11.67% for the year 1974-75 in addition to 8.33% allowed. No order as to costs.
- This order shall be in continuation to the earlier order dated 22-5-1980 as consolidated order. This order shall also be applicable in case No. 35/79, M.S. Jain vs. Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation and case No. 90/78 Satish Kumar versus H.P. State Forest Carporation. Copy of this order be placed on the record of the said cases for completion of record. The copy of this order be sent to the applicants representative, Shri J. K. Badhab, General Secretary H. P. State Forest Corporation Ltd. Head Office payment on uniform basis in all the employees of the three units of the Corporation i. e. (i) Head Office, (ii) Nahan R&T Factory and (iii) Bilaspur R&T Factory per Ex. R5 only the bonus of head office employees and Bilaspur Factory were brought at par but Nahan unit was not affected by this decision, thereby allowing them bonus at the rate of 14.49% as decided by the respondent corporation Board in its meeting dated 27-4-1977. For the above, this issue is held in affirmative.

#### Issue No. 5:

The fact that from 95% of the employees, the amount of bonus in excess to 8.33% has been recovered by the respondent Corporation, in no way affects the right of refund of the same amount to the applicants.

#### RELIEF:

- 9. For the foregiong findings on the issues, the applicants/head office employees of the corporation at Shimla are to be paid the amount of bonus deducted and recovered from them at the rate of 11.67% for the year, 1974-75. No order as to costs.
- 10. This order shall be read in continuation to the earlier order dated 22-5-1980 as consolidated order. This order shall also be applicable in case No. 35/79 M.S. Jain versus H.P. State Forest Corporation and case No. 90/78 Sa tish Kumar versus Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation. Copy of this order be placed on the record of the said cases for completion of record. The copy of this order be sent to the applicants representative. Shri J. K. Badhab General Secretary, Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation Ltd., Head Office employees Union, Shimla and the respondents management.

Announced in the open court.

(H. D. KAINTHLA),
Presiding Officer, Labour Court
for State of Himachal Pradesh,
Circuit at Shimla.

# In the Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh Circuit at Solan

Ranjit Singh son of Shri Mohinder Singh, resident of village Attawa, U.T. Chandigarh ... Applicant.

#### Versus

Satnam Chemical Industry village Baddi, Tehsil Nalagrah, District Solan, through its partners
...Respondent.

Application under sub-section (2) of section 15 of the Payment of Wages Act for the recovery of Rs. 9.000 as arrears of wages due from the respondents.

# ORDER

The applicant has made claim for recovery of Rs. 9,000 amount of wages at the rate of Rs. 400 per

month for having worked with the respondents. This amount relates to the period from 1-11-1976 to 15-5-1979.

The applicantion is made under sub-section (2) of section 15 of the Payment of Wages Act. Obviously this application is prefrred before this labour court which has no jurisdiction to entertain the matter in issue of the recovery of wages because the Presiding Officer of this labour court has not been appointed under Government notification to be the Authority to hear and decide such claims of wages under section 15 (1) of the Payment of Wages Act. So the application has been put in a wrong

- forum which has no Jurisdiction in the matter. 3. Moreover, the claim covers the back wages for 2½ years period while the proviso to sub-section (2) of section 15 of the Payment of Wages Act lays down the period of 12 months for recovery of wages due. Therefore, also the claim petition having been filed on 27-6-79
- can cover less than 12 months period i.e. with effect from 27-6-1978 to 15-5-1979 which is the period falling within twelve months from the date of filing the petition. In result this application is dismissed as misdirected and filed as a court without jurisdiction. The applicant may seek his remedy in a competent court of law. No

order regarding costs. The copy of this order be sent

Announced in the open Court.

to the applicant.

to their application.

Dated: 28-1-1981. Presiding Officer, Labour Court for State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Solan.

# Before the Presiding Officer, Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Case No. 49/79

Himachal Pradesh Forest Corporation Employees Union, Shimla ... Applicant. Versus

Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation Ltd., Shimla ... Respondent.

#### ORDER

H.P. State Forest Corporation, Head Office Employees-Union, Shimla, is the applicant in this case representing 17 employees of the respondent-Corporation. They have filed this application under section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act (I.D. Act), regarding the claim of bons amount. They were paid firstly 20% bonus for the year, 1974-75 but later on this bonus awarded was reduced to 8.33 % and the amount already paid in excess of 8.33 % was recovered from the applicants. Now the applicants want the determination of the amount. The amount of bonus which they claim back now is given per list attached

The Respondent-Corporation has opposed this claim on legal objections and on merits it is stated that there were different rates of bonus for the employees of the Corporation of different unit, and with a view to have uniform scheme of bonus, it was fixed at 8.33 %.

The respective contention; of the partie; gave rise to the following issues:-

- Whether the claim petition for restoration of bonus reduction from 20 % to 8.33 % is a matter covered by section 33-C (2) of Industrial Dispute Act and not a matter of reference of Industrial Tribunal? OPP.
- Whether in face of the rejection of this claim matter for a reference of a Industrial Tri-bunal by the Government previously, the present petition does not lie? OPR.
- Whether this claim petition is time barred? OPR.
- Whether the decision of the respondent in reducing the bonus from 20% to 8.33% is arbitrary and illegal and the applicants are

entitled to its restoration at the rate of 20% OPP.

- Whether from 95% of the employees, the excess bonus of 8.33% has been recovered and if so, to what effect? OPR.
  - Relief.

Issues No. 1 to 3 have been taken as preliminary issues.

FINDINGS ON THESE ISSUES

Issue No. 1:

From the written statement of the respondent corporation, it is clear that the bonus amount at the rate of 20% had been allowed to the applicant; and later on the scheme was modified by reducing the bonus to 8.33% and the difference of excess amount recovered. So it is not a matter of disputed right regarding the awarding of bonus claim to the applicant; but only the quantum and amount of the bonus is in dispute. In such a case the authority A.I.R. 1968 Supreme Court 218: East India Coal Co. Ramashwer, is applicable, it lays down as under: "It is clear that the right to the benefit which is sought

to be computed must be an existing one, that is to say already adjudicated upon or provided for and must arise in the course of and in relation to the relationship between an industrial workmen and his employer. Since the scope of sub-section (2) of section 33-C is wider than that of sub-section (1) and the sub-section is not confined to cases arising under an award, settlement or under the provision of chapter VA, there is no reason, to hold that a benefit provided by a statute or a scheme made thereunder, without there being anything contrary under such statute or section 33 C (2) can not fall within sub-section (C). Consequently the benefit provided in the bonus scheme made under the Coal Mines Provident Fund and Bonus Scheme Act, 1948 which remains to be computed must fall under sub-section (2) and the Labour Court therefore had jurisdiction to entertain and try such a claim, it being a claim in respect of an existing right arising from the relationship of an industrial workmen and his employer.

On the other side, 1975 Labour and Industrial cases (Page-1180) Aranha vs. Universal Radiaters, Madras High Court authority has been cited. This authority says that the disputed claim of bonus is not covered under section 33 C(2) of the I.D. Act but can be settled by the Industrial Tribunal as a regular industrial dispute.

This Madras High Court authority can not be followed. Firstly, the facts of the two cases are different. In that Madra scase, the very right and claim of bonus was in dispute whil here in the instant case, the amount of bonus is in controversy. Secondly, the above referred Supreme Court authority has to prevail which appears not to have been noticed by the Hon'ble Madras High Court.

Therefore, this issue is held for the applicants that the claim matter is entertainable in this application.

Issue No. 2:

No. I above.

This issue in the face of finding on Issue No. 1, does not arise.

Issue No. 3: This issue has not been pressed and is also covered by the Supreme Court authority referred under issue

Announced:

H. D. KAINTHLA, Presiding Officer Labour Court, May 22, 1980. for the State of Himachal Pradesh.

> Shri O.P. Sharma A.R. for applicants. Present: Shei Prem Goel A.R. for respondents.

The above findings have been announced to the parties present. Now the respondents may produce their evidence on the next date of hearing on the remaining Issue 4 and 5 on July 1, 1980.

# Before the Presiding Officer, Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Case No. 49/79

Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation Employees
Union, Shimla
Versus

Versus

H.P. State Forest Corporation Ltd., Shimla . . Respondent.

#### **FURTHER ORDER**

Application under section 37-C(2), Industrial Dispute Act.

In continuation of the Order dated 22-5-1980, made in this claim petition, disposing of Issues No. 1 to 3, the remaining issue Nos. 4 to 6 which are as under, are taken up for determination:—

- 4. Whether the decision of the respondent in reducing the bonus from 20% to 8.33% is arbitrary and illegal and the applicants are entitled to this restoration at the rate of 20%? OPP.
- 5. Whether from 95% of the employees the excess bonus of 8.33% has been recovered and if so, to what effect? OPR.
- 6. Relief.

#### FINDINGS ON THE AFORESAID ISSUES

#### Issue No. 4:

- 2. The respondent Forest Corporation on 26-6-1976 per resolution copy of which is Ex. R.1, decided that bonus at the rate of 8.33% be paid to the staff/ officers working in head office and Nahan Foundry. In respect of Bilaspur Rosin and Turpentine Factory the bonus at the rate of 4% was passed to be paid.
- 3. Against the above decision regarding bonus the employees of the Head Office Shimla, Rosin and Turpentine Factory, Bilaspur and Nahan Factory represented that they may be paid bonus at higher rates. Thereupon the Forest Corporation Board revised the bonus rates for Nahan (R&T) Factory at the rate of 14.40% and for head office employees at the rate of 20% while the Bilaspur R&T Factory employees were allowed the same previous rate of 4% bonus. This change of bonus rates was done in the Forest Corporation Board meeting, dated 27-4-1977. In this behalf statement of Shri P.D. Nanda, Assistant in the office of the Managing Director, Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation. Shimla (RW 1) may be referred.
  - 4. Again Bilaspur R&T Factory employees represented for higher bonus. Consequently the Corporation Board in its meeting dated 31-12-1977, per resolution copy of which is Ex. R 5 revised the bonus to head office employees at the rate of 8.33% and brought at par with the Bilaspur factory employees while the latter were given 4.33% incentive production payment in addition to the earlier 4% bonus awarded to them.
- 5. The head office employees have made the claim that they are entitled to get the bonus at the rate of 20% as initially awarded to them, and that further reduction to 8.33%, is arbitrary and uncalled for. They claim that on the existing right of the bonus scheme as initially approved by the respondent, entitles them to the minority benefit computed accordingly under section 33-C(2) of Industrial Dispute Act.
  - 6. The contention on the side of the respondents is that they have rationalised the scheme of bonus payment to be at par for all the employees of the Corporation and that the loss suffered by the Corporation do not justify the grant of 20% bonus to the head office employees. The stand taken up by the respondent is not tenable by the facts and under law. Firstly, once the bonus having been awarded according to scheme, it could not be altered and revised unilaterally by the respondents without giving any hearing to the applicants/employees of the head office. Recovering the

amount of bonus, already sanctioned and paid, from the applicants/head office employees was not only putting them to financial hardship but was fraught with penal civil consequences resulting in financial loss. So the principles of natural justice were violated in revising the bonus scheme to the determent of the applicants and their existing and recognised right was denied to them without giving hearing.

7. Secondly the revised scheme of bonus appears to be arbitrary adopted causing reduction of 11.67% to the head office employees on the ground of loss suffered by the corporation, as no loss whatsoever was incurred by the respondent corporation for the relevant year of 1974-75 as shown in its first annual accounts and reports which on the contrary shows the bonus payment dully accounted for and still net profit coming to Rs. 9,788.19. So there was no justification whatsoever for reducing the bonus on the ground of so called losses suffered by the corporation as indicated per Ex.R5 decision dated 31-12-1977. Again the ground of rationalisation of the bonus payment on uniform to all the employees of the three units of the Corporation i.e. (i) Head Offices, (ii) Nahan R&T Factory and (iii) Bilaspur R&T Factory per Ex. R 5, only the bonus of head office employees and Bilaspur Factory were brought at par but Nahan unit was not affected by this decision. thereby allowing them bonus at the rate of 14.49 % as decided by the respondent, Corporation Board in its meeting dated 27-4-1977. For, the above, this issue is held in affirmative.

Issue No. 5:

- 8. The fact that from 95% of the employees, the amount of bonus in excess to 8.33% has been recovered by the respondent corporation, in no any effects the right of refund of the same amount to the applicant.

  Relief:
- 9. For the foregoing findings on the issues, the applicants/head office employees of the Corporation at Shimla are to be paid the amount of bonus deducted and recovered from them at the rate of 11.40% for the year, 1974-75. No order as to costs.
- 10. This order shall be read in continuation to the earlier order dated 22-5-1980 a sconsolidated order. This order shall also be applicable in Case No. 35/79, M.S. Jain vs. Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation and Case No. 90/78, Satish Kumar vs. Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation. Copy of this order be placed on the record of the said cases for completion of record. The copy of this order be sent to the applicants representative, Shri J.K. Badhan, General Secretary, Himachal Pradesh State Forest Corporation Ltd., Head Office Employees Union, Shimla and the respondents-Management.

Announced in the open Court.

Dated the 25th January, 1981.

H. D. KAINTHLA, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, for State of H.P., Shimla at circuit.

Before the Presiding Officer Labour Court Himachal Pradesh Camp at Solan, Himachal Pradesh

Case No. 45 of 1977, Date of decision: 28-5-1979

Shri Laxmi Datt

... Petitioner

Versus

Solan Food Products Private Ltd.

.. Respondents.

Application under section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

#### ORDER

The petitioner was an Accountant with the respondent M/s Solan Food Products (P) Ltd., Solan. He was getting a salary of Rs. 230 per month.

According to the petitioner he worked as Accountant

with the respondent till 2-8-1976 when he was verbally asked that he need not attend the office as his services were no longer needed. That this termination was without one months notice as required under section 25(f) of the Industrial Disputes Act. So the petitioner claimed one months salary plus the retrenchment compensation of  $6\frac{1}{2}$  months salaries i.e. calculated for 13 years and 4 months services duration with the respondents' under section 25(f) (b). His total claim is for Rs. 1,725.0.).

The respondent contested the claim on various grounds. They contended that the services of the petitioner were neither terminated nor retrenched but he himself scopped coming and attending the office. In it he lost the job by his own act and conduct and was doing part-time job of "PUROHITS" Astrologer during the service with the respondents also. The respondents liability to any such claim as asserted by the petitioner was denied

The respondent questioned the maintainability of the claim before the labour court on the ground that the same should have been addressed to the State Government who should have forwarded it to the appropriate labour Court.

The petitioner filed rejoinder to the written statement of the respondent denying the allegations of his voluntary withdrawal from the service and explained that the Director and the Manager of the respondent's company sacked him on the first available opportunity on 2nd August, 1976 as he had committed indiscrition of lodging a civil suit for the recovery of his past salary arrears before the learned Senior Sub-Judge, Solan and the 1st hearing in the said suit was 31-7-1976.

Regarding the claim being addressed to the labour Court. It is stated that the petitioner was advised by the Labour Commissioner Himachal Pradesh vide his letter dated 18th July, 1977 to lay the claim before the Labour Court.

My learned predecessor raised the following issues to dispose of this claim controversy between the parties:

- Whether the petitioner has been retrenched and is entitled to get the retrenchment compensation, if so to what amount? OPP.
- (2) Whether the petitioner is entitled to one month's salary in lieu of notice? OPP.
- (3) Relief.

#### FINDINGS ON ISSUES

The petitioner has stated on oath that he was retrenched on 2-8-1976 when he was drawing Rs. 230 per month as his monthly wages. He further stated that after retrenchment he put his claim before the Conciliation Officer where the respondent did not join the proceedings. So he had to file tais petition thereafter.

There is practically no rebuttal to this evidence of the petitioner except the fact made out by Shri Manohar Chauhan Labour Inspector, Solan (RW-1) that the petitioner had not filed any application in the office of the Labour Commissioner for getting retrenchment benefit from the respondent though he had told him verbally that he had been retrenched by the respondent and that he had not been paid any retrenchment compensation. He further stated that he advised the petitioner to approach the Conciliation Officer who was the concerned authority.

From the above evidence it is very plain that the petitioner has not pointed out or proved any orders or scheme of retrenchment followed under section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act by the respondents. The respondents have denied that they terminated the services of the petitioner or effected any retrenchment much loss in a formal manner. But the fact remains that the service of the petitioner were terminated by the respondent on 2-8-1976.

In such a situation the petitioner could claim the retrenchment compensation or re-instatement or compensation for wrong full termination of the service. But this remedy could be avoided by him by way of raising the disupte and having

it referred to the Industrial Tribunal by the State Government. The sum nary remails of recovery of the compensation amount and its determination in such a case, can not be under section 33(C)(2) of the Industrial Disputes. Act. The law in such a case is as under as explained by the learned author of "The Law of Industrial Disputes, 1973" Second edition—by Malhotra, published by N.M.Tripathi (Vol. I. Page-721).

"The payment of compensation as required by S-25-F(b) is a mandatory pre-condition and the order made, with

out complying with this requirement cannot constitute

a valid retreachment. In such a case the termination of service cannot be treated as a "sort of retreachment" (q). Any purported retreachment will be void abinition and therefore, invalid and inoperative in law. It will be a case of unlawful termination of service. The workman will be entitle to relastatement and full wages for the period he is kept out of employment. However, the remedy of the workman is to raise an industrial dispute under \$2.22 and have the unlawful order of the employer set aside by an industrial tribunal on reference. Since such termination of service is not retreachment, the question of retrenchment compensation is payable only when there is "retrenchment" within the meaning of the term defined in \$5.2(09) i.e., discharge of surplus labour or staff by the employer

for any reason whatsoever otherwise than as a punish-

The Hon'ble Supreme Court has explained the law on the point in A.I.R. 1970 Supreme Court 237, U.P., Electric Supply Co., Ltd., Appellant versus R.K. Shukla and others respondents that:

ment inflicted by way of disciplinary action".

"If the liability arises from an onward, settlement or under the provisions of Ch.V A or by virtue of a statute or a scheme made thereunder more denial by the employer may not be sufficient to negative the claim under section 33C(2) before the Labour Court. Where however, the right to retrenchment compensation which is the foundation of the claim is itself a matter which is exclusively within the competence of the Industrial Tribunal to be adjudicated upon on a reference, it would be straining the language of section 33-C(2) to hold that the question whether there has been retrenchment may be decided by the Labour Court. The power of the labour Court is to compute the compensation claimed to be payable to the workmen on the footing that there has been rettenchment of the work. men. Where retrenchment is conceded, and the only matter in dispute is that by virtue of Sec. 25-FF no liability to pay compensation has arisen the Labor Court will be competent to decide the question. In suh a case the question is one of computation and not of determination, of the conditions precedent to the accrual of liability. Where, however, the dispute is whether workmen have been retrenched and computation of the amount is subsidiary or incidental the Labour Court will have no authority to trespass upon the powers of the Tribunal with which it is statutorily invested.".

It appears that the petitioner did not raise this common retrenchment compensation and one month's pay in Jeu of notice even before the Conciliation Officer, District Solan which the purports to have been referred to the Learned Labour Commissioner vide Ex.-P.2 and P.1. Ex.P.2 is the memo dated 4th July, 1977 of Labour Commissioner to Conciliation Officer, Solan stating that the petitioner requested for the payment of outstanding dues from the management and had not raised any dispute in terms of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947. That his request for payment of his dues can be redressed by filing an application under section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 before the Labour Court. This memo copy has been endorsed to the petitioner by the Conciliation Officer on 18th July, 1977.

Prior to this the Conciliation Officer had advised the petitioner to pursue the matter with the appropriate authorities of the Government who had been informed of the failure of the conciliation proceedings.

Again it is clear from the record that the petitioner did not make any demand on the respondent management for the claim of retrenchment compensation and one month's salary amount in lieu of the notice. He at the best may have raised the said claimb efore the Conciliation Officer which is not clear on the record. In any case no reference of industrial dispute can be made in such a situation as laid down in A.I.R. 1970 Delhi 69, F. L. Corporation (Pvt.) Ltd. versus Union of India following A.I.R. 1968 supreme court

\529. Here the law laid down is that -"If no dispute at all was raised by the respondents, with the management, any request sent by them to the Government would only be a demand by them and not industrial dispute between them and there employer. An industrial dispute, as defined, must be a dispute between employers and workmen. A more demand to a Government with a dispute being raised by workmen with their employer, cannot become an industrial dispute. Consequently the material before the Tribunal clearly showed that no such industrial dispute, as was purported to be referred by the State Government to the Tribunal had ever existed between

# Issue No. 2:

It is one month's salary claim in lieu of notice which is also covered by the same considerations as discussed in issue No. I above.

the appellant Corporation and the respondents and the State Government in making a reference, obviously, committed an error in basing its opinion on material

which was not relevant to the formation of opinion".

# Issue No. 3:

In short this claim petition fails. The parties are left to bear their own costs in the case.

The above order is announced to the parties at Solan on 28th day of May, 1979.

Sd/-Solan, the 28th May, 1979. Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Camp at Solan.

Before Shri H. D. Kainthla, Presiding Officer, Labour Court for the State of Himachal Pradesh, Circuit at Sandernagar (Himachal Pradesh)

# CASE No. 11/79

Om Prakash Mechanic, Himachal Road Transport Corporation, Dharamsala .. Petitioner.

Versus

- The General Manager, H.R.T.C., Shimla.
- The Divisional Manager, Dharamshala. The Assistant Manager, H.R.T.C., Dharamshala
- . Respondents.
- Application under section 33-C(2) of the Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947. ORDER

The applicant Om Prakash Mechanic was appoined The applicant Om rrakasii incomment Corporation in erstwhile Mandi-Kullu Road Transport Corporation (MKRTC) Mandi as Fitter on 1-10-1953. He was promoted as Mechanic from 1-4-1966. He was confirmed with effect from 27-11-1966.

- 2. He alleges that he has not been paid annual increment from 1975 as yet despite several requests made in writing and verbal. So the applicant has moved this Court to determine the amount due to the petitioner.
  - The respondents Himachal Road Transport Corporation (HRTC) Management has put in written statement regarding the claim made by the applicant that it is wrong to contend on the part of the applicant that he has not been paid his annual increments from 1975. According to them the applicant was allowed increment with effect from 1-1-1975 raising his pay from Rs. 202 to Rs. 210 in the pay scale of Rs. 140-6-170/8-210/10-300. However, he was not allowed to cross Efficiency Bar with effect from 1-1-1976 as

there was a case under inquiry against him in which he was charge-sheeted on 31-12-1976. He has further been chargesheeted on 6-3-1979 for disobedient of orders of his superiors and gross misconduct. That in such circumstances the applicant is not entitled to cross Efficiency Bar under Fundamental Rule 25 and Rule 15 of Himachal Road Transport, Corporation (Class III and IV) Service Recruitment. Promotion and Certain Conditions of Services, Regulations, 1975 due to department il proceedings pending against him.

- To settle the dispute between the parties the followingissues were framed:
  - (1) Whether not allowing the crossing of the EB at Rs. 210 in the scale of Rs. 140-6-170/8-210/10-300 with effect from 1-1-1976 is justified. (2) Relief.
    - FINDING OF THE ISSUES

#### Issue No 1:

- 5. Strangely enough the applicant has neither attached any schedule of his claim amount on account of denial of annual increment from 1975 onward nor he has care i to state the amount of pay he was drawing in 1975 and the amount to which he was entitled after allowing annual increments to him. Not only this but the applicant has not given those facts in his statement made before the Court to support his claim. He simply stated that in January, 1976 annual increment was due to him which has not been given despite requests. He further stated that he was not being proceeded for any disciplinary action at that time by way of chargesheet. In 1977 he was given charge-sheet but increment has been stopped to him since 1976. He also stated that he was not informed by the management that efficiency Bar crossing has been disallowed to him.
- Now the question to be determined is whether the applicant is entitled to the crossing of Efficiency Bar as a matter of right or the management is authorised and justified to disallow the same, of course for good reasons.
- Admittedly inquity regarding the disciplinary proceedings was going on against the applicant which culminated in serving of a charge-sheet on the applicant in 1977. The stand of the management is that under the rules the management was competent to withhold and disallo w crossing of Efficiency Bar to the applicant.
- The formal order passed disallowing the crossing of the Efficiency Bas of the applicant is per copy Ex. RI dated 17-5-1977 and the Efficiency Bar crossing is disallowed with effect from 1-1-1976 by not raising his pay from Rs. 210 to Rs. 220. In fact the annual increment in 1976 had been withhold to the applicant at the stage of Rs. 210 as the Efficiency Bar was there to be crossed and cleared by the applicant.
- The relevant rules on the matter of crossing of Efficiency Bar may be noticed as under:-

# REGULATION 15

- Road Transport Corporation (Class-III (1) Himachal Service (Recruitment, Promotion and and IV) Certain Conditions of Service) Regulations, 1975-Crossing of Efficiency Bar.
- "No member of the service holding a post in a regular capacity shall be allowed to cross the efficiency bar in a scale of pay unless in the opinion of the competent authority the work and conduct of the employee concerned has remained satisfactory that his integrity is unquestionable, and that he is not the subject matter of any Department Vigilance/Crminal proceedings and/or an enquiry
- (2) F.R. 25 which deal with the efficiency bar is as under:-"F.R. 25, where an efficiency bar is prescribed in a time scale the increment next above the bar shall not be given to a Government servant without the specific sanction of the authority empowered to withhold increments under Rule 24 or the relevant disciplinary rules applicable to the Government servant or any

other authority whom the President may, by general or special order authorise in this behalf.".

10. So the above rules authorise the management to withheld or disallow the crossing of Efficiency Bar to its employees. The provisions of these rules are to the effect that the employee of the Corporation has to earn this right of crossing of Efficiency Bar by efficient performance of duties. No employees shall be entitled to claim the next stage in the salary scale where Efficiency Bar falls, unless the management gives clearance in specific and positive terms for

allowing the crossing of Efficiency Bar.

The issue thus is held against the applicant.

11. In the instant case the applicant himself admits that the next increment at the stage of Efficiency Bar was withheld to him in 1976 and he was served with charge-sheet in 1977. This shows that all was not well in regard to performance of duties by the applicant and that is why he was not cleared for release of the next increment falling due to the stage of Efficiency Bar. So it cannot be held that the respondent management was not justified in withholding and disallowing the crossing of Efficiency Bar at Rs. 210 in the scale of Rs. 140-6-170/8-210/10-300, with effect from 1-1-1976.

Issue No. 2:

#### RELIEF

- 12. The result follows that this petition stand dismissed but I pass no order regarding costs.
- 13. The order becommunicated to the parties and file be sent to the Secretary, Labour Department, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

Dated: 22nd January, 1981. H. D. KAINTHLA,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court,

for the State of Himachal Pradesh,

Circuit at Sundernagar.

# बहुदेशीय परियोजनर एवं विद्युतः विभाज प्रसिम् ∻ना

गिमला-171002, 22 जून, 198**4** 

संख्या विद्युत-छ (5)-8/82.—132कं 0वी 0 एस 0सी 0 संचार लाईत भ्रमणेर विल्ला से कोलार के निर्माण के लिए भूमि ग्राजित करने हेतु हिमाचल प्रदेश, राज्य विद्युत वोर्ड और हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार के श्रोर से सर्चि, (शक्ति) हिमाबल प्रदेश सरकार के मध्य संलंग इकरा न.मा सर्व भाषा ण के सुच गर्थ, सु-ग्रजैन श्रविनियम, 1894 के धारा 42

के अन्तर्गत राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश, में प्रकाशित किया जाता है

ग्रानन्द स्व हप, ग्रंव सिचव ।

#### AGREEMENT

TIHS AGREEMENT is made on the 21st day of June, 1984, BETWEEN the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board having its headquarters at SHIMLA [as statutory body incorporated under the provisions of the Electricity Supply) Act, 1948 (Act No. LIV of 1948)] through Shri K.C. Mahajan, Chairman, Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board (hereinafter called "the Company" which expression shall, unless the context otherwise require, includes his successors in office and assignees) of the one part and the Governor of Himachal Pradesh through the Secretary (M.P.P and Power), Government of Himachal Pradesh (hereinafter called "The Governor" which expression shall, unless the context otherwise require, include his successors in office and assignees) of the other part;

WHEREAS for the purpose of the construction of 132 K. V. Transmission Line from Shamsher Villa to Kolar in Villages Birla and Thana Kasoga, Tehsil Nahan, in District Sirmaur the company has applied to the Government of Himachal Pradesh for the acquisition under the provisions of the land Acquisition Act, 1894 for the piece of land containing an area of 0.10 Bighas as per detail noted in the

specification below, situated in Villages Birla & Thana Kasoga, Tehsil Nahan, District Sirmaur and more particularly described in the schedule hereto an delineated in the plan hereunto annexed;

AND WHEREAS the said Government of Himachal Pradesh being satisfied by an enquiry held under section, 40 of the said Act that the proposed acquisition is needed for the aforesaid purpose and the said work is likely to prove useful to public, has consented to acquire on behalf of the company, the piece of land hereinbefored described:

AND WHEREAS the said Government of Himachal Pradesh has required the company under the provisions of section 41 of the above mentioned Act to enter into the Agreement with the Governor hereinafter contained;

Now this indenture witnesseth that it is hereby agreed and declared as follows:—

- 1. On demand the company shall and will pay to the said Government all and every amount in lieu of the said land tendered, paid or awarded or to be tendered, paid or awarded by the Collector under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 or by court or courts to which an appeal from the award of the said court may be preferred and all costs, charges and expenses of the proceedings in the aforesaid courts or otherwise incidental of the proposed acquisition or payable in respect thereof under the provisions of the said Act.
- 2. On demand made by the said Collector the obligations of the company under the last precedings clause not being thereby, limited the company shall and will deposit with the said Collector such sum or sums or money as in his discretion the said Collector may in anticipation of estimate be necessary for the purposes mentioned in the last preceding clause.
- 3. On payment by the company of all demands under the foregoing first clause, or in the discretion of the said Government (on deposit by the company of all estimated amounts as provided in the second clause), but not before possession shall have been taken under the provisions of the above mentioned Act, the Government shall make over possession of the said land to the company and shall execute and do all such acts and deeds as may be necessary and proper for effectually vesting the same in the company.
- 4. The said land shall be held by the company for the purposes of such as 132 K. V. Transmission Line from Shamsher Villa to Kolar in Sirmaur district as is hereinbefore mentioned and without the sanction in writing of the said Government first had and obtained for no other purpose whatsoever.
- 5. The construction of 132 K. V. Transmission Line from Shamsher Villa to Kolar in Sirmaur district shall be completed (and fully equipped in all respects ready for use) within minimum period of 2 years from the date on which possession of the said land shall have been given to the company.
- 6. Should the said construction of 132 K. V. Transmission Line from Shamsher Villa to Kolar not be completed (and fully equipped in all respect ready for use) within the period stated in the last preceding clause or within such further period as in its discretion may be prescribed or allowed by the said Government or should the said land at any time thereafter cease for a period of six consecutive months to be held and used or cease to be required for the purpose or purposes provided for in the foregoing fourth clause then and in any such case, the said Government may summarily re-enter upon and take possession of the said land together with all structures thereon, whether such structure were erected before or after the transfer of the land to the company; and thereupon the interest of the company in the said land and structures shall absolutely cease and determine.
- 7. On taking such possession the said Government may sell or otherwise deal with the said land and

10

structures as it may think proper:--

(i) Should the said Government sell the land with the structures the said Government after

deducting the expenses incurred in connection with the said taking of possession and with

such sale shall pay the proceeds to the company. (ii) Should the said Government decide not to sell land the said Government retain the said land and structures thereon in

which case the Government shall repay to the company the market value as on the day of re-entry of all the structures erected by the company and all sums received from the company in respect of all and every amount as provided

in the foregoing first clause (less the statutory allowance of 15% and less any amount amount received on account of trees and buildings in existence at the are not

time of resumption) but will not repay any sums paid and received on account of costs, charges and expenses of acquisition.

(iii) Should the said Government decide to sell the land only upon such sale, the Governor, shall after deducting the expenses of taking posses-

sion and selling, pay the balance of the proceeds of sale to the company, together with the sum received from the company in respect of the amount for the land (less the statutory

allowance of 15% and less any amount received from the company on account of trees and buildings etc. which are not in existence at the time of resumption), but will not repay any sum paid and received on account of costs, charges and expenses of acquisition. 8. Should any dispute or difference arise touching or concerning the subject matter of this agreement or

any covenant clause or thing herein contained, the same shall be referred to the Secretary (Law) to the Government, and opinion and the decision of the aforesaid Secretary (Law) upon such dispute or difference shall be final and conclusive and binding on the parties 9. In WITNESS WHEREOF Shri K.C. Mahajan, Chairman, Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board for and on be-

half of the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and Shri K. C. Mahajan, Secretary (MPP & Power) to the Himachal Pradesh Government, Shimla-2 for and on behalf of the Governor of Himachal Pradesh have

hereunto set their respective hands and seal on the day

K. C. MAHAJAN, Chairman. WITNESSES: H. P. State Electricity Board, Shimla.

Member (Electrical), H.P.S.E.B., Shimla-4. Sd/-

Sd/-

and year first above written.

Secretary

H.P.S.E.B., Shimla-4.

Signed, sealed and delivered

WITNESSES

🕽 🧸 हस्ता ०/-

K. C. MAHAJAN, ग्रनुभाग ग्रिधकारी विद्यंत शाखा, हिमाचल प्रदेश स कार,

Secretary (M.P.P.& Power)

to the Government of Himachal Pradesh on behalf of Governor of शिमला-171002.

Himachal Pradesh.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

# NOTIFICATIONS

Una, the 10th May, 1984

No. PW/SE-ICU/WS/LA-Paper/84-5168-71.—Whereas it appears to the Governor, Himachal Pradesh that the land is likely to be required to be taken by the Himachal Pradesh Government at the public expenses for a public purpose namely for c/o Water Supply Scheme Sd/-

1. Under Secretary, M.P.P & Power to Government of H. P. Sectt., Shimla-2.

All that piece or parcel of land situated in village Birla and Thana Kasoga containing an area detailed as under:-SPECIFICATION

District: SIRMAUR Tehsil: NAHAN

Village Khasra No. Area Big. Bis. 1 2 3 4 BIRLA 166/1 2 62/22 2 2 O THANA KASOGA 668/110/1 0 663/110/1 0 42/1 0 2

# PLANNING DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION

Total Kitta ...

# Shimla-171002, the 22 nd June, 1984

No. PLG (ES)-B(2)-11/83.—In continuation of this

Department's notification of even number, dated the 17th February, 1984 and in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order the continuous of the adher appointment of Shri K.

above notification.

it may concern.

continuance of the ad hoc appointment of Shri K. K. Sharma, Deputy Director against the post of Joint Director in the Directorate of Economics and Statistics. Himachal Pradesh with effect from 1-3-1984 to 30-6-1984 on the same terms and conditions as laid down in the

> Commissioner-cum-Secretary. VIDHAN SABHA SECRETARIAT

B. B. TANDON.

#### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-4, the 27th June, 1984

No. 1-23/82-VS.—The Hon'ble Speaker, Himachal Pradesh Legislative Assembly is pleased to nominate Shri Jagdev Chand, M.L.A. as a Member of the Com-

mittee on Privileges (1984-85) in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Shri Kaul Singh Thakur, M.L.A. with immediate effect.

V. VERMA, Secretary. भाग 2-वैद्यानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा प्रधिसूचनाएं इत्यावि

in Village Sure Ropa in Teh. Amb, District Una. it is hereby notified that land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose. This notification is made under the provision of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the

892		राजपत्र	, हिना	बल प्रदेश,	21 जुलाई, 19	84/30 ग्राभाढ़, 1906		
unon and	ng with their servan survey any land in	the locality a	nd do	to enter	1	2	3	<del></del>
acts requi	red or permitted by	that section.				129	. 3	
	10 zz . e .	t	L:4'	4 44		144	3	
Any pe	rson interested, who	nas any o	ojection -	on to the		136	1	9
acquisition	of the said land	in the locali	ty ma	fl. on		137	0	12
30 days o	f the publication of	Collector of	Lond	Acqui-		139 117	4	
objection 1	n writing before the machal Pradesh Pr	ublic Worke	Den	ertment		117	.0	
	illacijai Fraucsii i	dolle works	Dep	et ement,		127	7	.14
Hamirpur.						556	48	10
	SPECIFICA	TION				566	17	1,3
						779	49	Ĩ
District:	UNA	T	ehsil:	AMB				
		· <b>-</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Aı	rea		Kitta 25	173	3
Village	Khasra	. No. K	anal	Marla				
1	2		3	4	*Construct	ion of Bhuti-Delath Roo	rd.	
SURI	5628/1 29/1		1 5	9 10	No. SE-II-	R-54-5/84-9659-62.		
				19		Shimla-3	, the 7th June, 1	1984.
	Total 2				JUNI	845/790	5	1
			c.	<b>1</b> /		756/563	10	10
		annulator He		i/-		779/564	i	9
		uperintending				98	3	12
	i &PH Cir	cle, H. P., P	. W . D	., Una.		340 min	4	<b>1</b> 0
11/1	appears to the Gov	- ernor Himor	hal D	radech		341	4	13
that land is	likely to be requir	ed to be to	ken	by the		296	3	18
	radesh Government					340 min	3	0
	purpose, namely fo					342	3	13
that the land	in the locality desc	ribed below	is lik	ely to		297	5	7 7 y - 1
be acquired	for the said* purp	ose.		,		199	3	77
	г					235	0	5 (
This notific	ation is made unde	r the provis	ion o	f sec-		234	0	11
	Land Acquisition A					810/44	6 2 6	19
may concern.						295	2	17
,						238		
In exercise	of the powers conf	erred by the	e afo	resaid		239	0	10
	overnor, Himachal					240	1	18
	officers for the time					252	1	.!
	ith their servants					201	7	14
upon and sur	vey any land in the l	ocality and o				877/208	9	7
	or permitted by that			voc		209	3	- 0
						333	9	0
	interested, who has					874/208	2	5
acquisition of	the said land in th	e locality m	12y, v	yi <b>th</b> in		875/208	2	15
thirty days of	the publication of t	his notificati	on, fi	le an		876/208	9 5 2 3 2	0
objection in	writing before th	e Collector	of	Land		2 <b>0</b> 0		14
	), District Shimla	and Outer	r Ser	aj of		207	3	3 17
Kullu, H.P. F	P.W.D., Shimla-2.					210 <b>24</b> 2	2 4	17 11
+0						802/501	9	10
<ul> <li>Construction</li> </ul>	of Pandadhar-Dela	in road.				99	0	0
N. OCT	E4 4/02 10522 25					301	8	3
No. SE-II-R	1-54-4/83-10532-35.					290	. 0	ŏ
	Chimle 2	the 22-4 %	lov 1	004		493	ŏ	ŏ
	2mma-2,	the 22nd M	ıay, I	. <del>701</del> .		563	ŏ	ŏ
	SPECIFICATION	VI				564	, ŏ	ŏ
	J. LCII ICA IIO	-1				561	Ŏ	ŏ
District: SHI	AFT A	Tabel. T	A 2 4 F	diio		562/1	0	Ŏ
Duriel. Shift	VILA	Tehsil: R	AMF	UK		580	0	0
	,		A -	ca		579	0	Ģ
Village	Khasra No.		Big.	Bis.		565	0	0
1	2		ыу. 3	4		591/563 min	3	14
<del></del>						595/563 min		18
BERKALI	778		6	11		603/563	0	10 18 7
	120		ŏ	18		544/563 min		
	125		5	3		844/563 501/563:-		19
	126		Ō	13		591/563 min		13
	131		0	17		595/563 min		17
	561		0	14		595/563 min	2	6
	130		2	18		598/563 843/563		19 🖪
	84		2	10		843/563 241	6	8
	559		2	5		241 236		11
	560		1	8		43		17 15
	85		3	7		881/44		15 14
	115		3	3		001/44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	116		0	4	To4-1 L	Cittag 56	176	15 7
	118		2	17	Total I	Cittas 56	176	15
	<del></del>							

		াৰণ সংখ	, 21	जुलाई, 1984/30 म 	षाढ़, 1906	893
	hsil: NANKHARI			, 1	2	3 4
No. SE-II-R-54-4/84-	10520-23.				298.	0 16
s.	Shimla-3, the 22	nd Inne	1084		182	4 8
DELATH	58	13	]		150 301	2 17
J. 1	59	5	. 8		147	1 10 0 15
1	60 39	0 2	13		299	0 18
	41	1	19		128 3 <b>46</b>	0 18
. )	40	1	10		129	0 18 1 15
افد	43 37	0	5		141	3 3
	38	8	14 9		345	0 9
	36	4	6		385 491/144	2 10 1 4
	57	1	17 2		498/342	1 3
8	55 5 <b>6</b>	2	3		500/344	0 14
	31	2	12		501/344 502/344	0 7
	51	2	19		504/348	0 9 1 18
Total Vittag	1.5	47			503/348	2 0
Total Kittas .	. 15	<b>4</b> 7	18		492/144	0 16
No. SE-II-R-54-4/84-	10524-27.		100000		382 130	5 17 2 2
TIKKRI	493/174	1	10		142	19 7
2	495/174	4	13		145	17 (
	164	2	12		186 175	11 14
Total Kittas	. 3	8	15		173	6 9 6 9
T.					296	20 16
No. SE-II-54-4/84-10	0516-19.				308 270	24 10
BRACH	276/28	4	9		379 380	1 10
	278/31	0	19		377	11 3
<u> </u>	300/104	0	14		384	1 10
j J	151	2	9		389	36 18
	155 277/28	1 5	6 5		343	0 8
٨	<b>3</b> 79/31	1	0	Kit	tas 44	223 ,11
· •	301/104	2	18			<del></del>
<b>,</b>	32	2 2	11	No. SE-II-F	k-54-4/84-10544-47.	
e e	38 143	1	6		27-340	
	150	1	13 9	TUTU	373	1 19
	156	3	17		396 83	5 13 0 14
	157	1	12		172	2 3
ē.	39	10	19		81	0 6
	40 142	0	15 18		754	0 12
	205	1	4		82	0 3
	141	Ô	11		85 80	3 10 0 11
	203	7	12		3 <b>00</b>	1 10
	37	4	6		174	2 19
	51 28/1	7 3	3 15		29	1 10
	28/1 41	7	0		740 855	0 9
	52	3	17		855 856	0 8
	55	1	3		480	3 11
	323/257/17 min	2	3 7 7	5	371	0 3
	322/257/17 min 105	2 1	7 5	d	926/736	0 11
	148	2	12		735 925 <i>1</i> 736	0 0
	140	2	16		<b>92</b> 5/73 <b>6</b> 753	1 15
L. /	149	0	15	•	2 <b>2</b> 4	0 17
a. ul. △.	147	2	11		752	0 17
	36 53	2 3	7 5		228	2 9
	53 54	3 1	2		374 385	2 9 0 19 0 3 2 8
	204	î	16		385 27	2 8
1 1	152	ĩ	8		476	0 14
The state of the s					477	0 14 1 6 1 17
Total Kittas	. 38	106	2		342	1 17 2 4
lo. SE-II-R-54-4/84-1	0540-43.		<del></del> -	T	382 381	2 4 2 15 0 7 0 18 2 9 2 4 0 18 2 0
WAN	178	8	2		375	0 7
1 114 44 1	181	2	16		475	0 18
	187	1	19		751	2 9 2 4
	174	2	2		62	0 18
	151	5	9		63	2 0
	151		10		43	2 0
	386 304	1	18 6		43 913/8 <b>4</b> 2	0 1 8 8

			, 3	लाई, 1984/		906			
1	2		3 - 4	I		2		3	, 4
	208		0 13	. ———		524		1	10
	171		1 1			574		8	8
	764 765		0 7			64 147		19 8	14
	768		2 5			1366/101		57	7
	471		0 16			84		26	6
	763		1 9			110		32	16
	769 207	1				1420/111 141		56 19	18
	207 733	;	3 <b>12</b> 7 1			152		72	16
	61	]				143		0	6
	42	1				1581/1428	3/52 <b>6</b>	29	1
	28	1				569 573		49 3	12 19
	41 169	3				554		50	19
	198	-	7			1583/551		`39	13
	30	(				549		23	17
	904/223 min	(				547 1305/92		43	6
	767 min min 904/223	1				138		82 0	11 1
	767 min	j				137		ŏ	19
	741	1	19			1544/399		0	6
	750	1	2	π	-1 W'	42		712	
	861 481 min	0 1	12 11	101	al Kittas	42		713	0
	481 min 384	1 0	12 5		Shimla-3, t		-		
	227 295	0	11 9	No. SE-	II-R-54-3/84-10 mor of Hima	548-51.—V	Whereas it	appears	to
	301	2	7.		to be taken				
	851	ī	i .	expense for	or a public pur	oose namel	y for the	construc	tion
	225	3	11	of Samo	hli-Pul-Khasdh	ar Road,	it is here	by decla	red
	862	0	17		and described		pecificatio	n belov	v is, ?
	848 943/170	0	7 13	requirea	for the above	purpose.			
	915/304	25	12	The de	claration is ma	da undan	the neavis	sions of	eac.
				tion 6 of t	he Land Acqu	isition Ac	t. 1894 to	all wh	om
Total Kittas	76	159	13	it may co	ncern and un	der the pro	ovisions o	f section	1 7
No. SE-II-R-54-4/83	10536-39		<del></del>	of the sa	id Act, the	Collector	r, Land	Acquisit	ion,
110. SE-II-K-34-4/03	-10330-37.			Himachal	Pradesh Publi	c Works D	epartment	t is her	eby
PNAIL	347 min	2	3	said land.	to take order	ioi the	acquisitio	JII 01	ще
	329 min	0	<b>3</b> 7						
	327 min	0	3 2	A plan	of the land m	ay be insp	ected in t	he office	of
	327 min 329 min	0 0	6	the Colle	ctor, Land Ac	quisition (	I), Himac	hal Prad	esh
	347 min	2	3	Public W	orks Departme	nt, Shimla	-171002.		
	348	3	6		SDEC	IFICATIO	N		
	945/15	49	1		31 EC	ITICATIO	11		
	246 330	11	0	District:	SHIMLA		Tehsil:	ROHR	00
	409	10 71	.16						
	1	o l	13	Village	V.	NI		Are	a nia
	250	17	18	village 1	<b>K</b> n	asra No. 2		Big.	4
	328	0	3			<u> </u>			
Total Kittas	14	169	6	SAINJI		44/1		0	3
						538/1		0	14
No. SE-II-R-54-4/84	I-10528-31.					524/536/1 5 <b>24/</b> 1		0 1	2 4
	Shimla-3, the 221	nd June,	1984.			531/1		Ô	3
LELAN	74	î	10			530/1		Ô	2.
	76	3	10			528		<b>(i)</b>	3 6 2
		4	5			529		0	6
	77		15		1			0	2
	73 min	4				967/517		ň	2
	73 min 88 min	4 2	<b>-</b> ∶ 0			966/517/1		0	3
	73 min 88 min 73 min	4 2 5	0			966/517/1 965/51 <b>7</b> /1		0 0	3 9
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87	4 2	<b>-</b> ∶ 0			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1		0 0	3 9
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122	4 2 5 3 2 4	0 0 0 4 14			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 516/1		0 0 0	3 9 4) 32 3
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122 136	4 2 5 3 2 4 2	0 0 0 4 14 5			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 516/1 513/1		0 0 0 0 0	3 9 14. 3.2 3 4
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122 136 123	4 2 5 3 2 4 2 13	0 0 0 4 14 5			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 516/1 513/1 511/1/1		0 0 0 0 0	3 9 14) 12 3 4 3
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122 136 123 139	4 2 5 3 2 4 2 13	0 0 0 4 14 5 12			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 516/1 513/1 511/1/1 511/3/1		0	3 9 4. 3 2 3 4 3 7
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122 136 123	4 2 5 3 2 4 2 13 1 2	0 0 0 4 14 5 12 0			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 516/1 513/1 511/1/1		0 0 0 0 0	3 9 14) 12 3 4 3
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122 136 123 139 140 135 153	4 2 5 3 2 4 2 13	0 0 4 14 5 12 0 11 6 2			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 515/1 513/1 513/1 511/1/1 511/3/1 512 611/1 611/2		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 9 4 3 4 3 7 11 7
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122 136 123 139 140 135 153 89	4 2 5 3 2 4 2 13 1 2 3 8 4	0 0 4 14 5 12 0 11 6 2			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 516/1 513/1 511/1/1 511/3/1 512 611/1 611/2 611/3		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	3 9 14 2 3 4 3 7 11 7 17
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122 136 123 139 140 135 153 89 93	4 2 5 3 2 4 2 13 1 2 3 8 4 4	0 0 0 4 14 5 12 0 11 6 2 8 11			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 516/1 513/1 511/1/1 511/3/1 512 611/2 611/2 611/3 611/4		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 9 14 3 7 11 7 17 17
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122 136 123 139 140 135 153 89 93	4 2 5 3 2 4 2 13 1 2 3 8 4 4 4 1	0 0 0 4 14 5 12 0 11 6 2 2 8 11 13			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 516/1 513/1 511/1/1 511/3/1 512 611/1 611/2 611/3 611/4 611/5		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 9 14 3 3 7 11 7 17 12 7
	73 min 88 min 73 min 88 min 87 122 136 123 139 140 135 153 89 93	4 2 5 3 2 4 2 13 1 2 3 8 4 4	0 0 0 4 14 5 12 0 11 6 2 8 11			966/517/1 965/517/1 548/1 515/1 516/1 513/1 511/1/1 511/3/1 512 611/2 611/2 611/3 611/4		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 9 14 3 7 11 7 17 17

	राजान, हिमायल प्रदेश, 2	1 সূপ।হ, 15	984/30 MIGIĘ,
1	2 -	- 3 4	Any person acquisition of
9	2626/611/1	0 13	thirty Cays o
•	2590/611/1	2 14	an objection
	469	0 9	Acquisition,
	1026/611/1	0 9	1' 12 1
	1014/611/1	0 4	18 1,5 de
	922/611/1	0 3	District: S.
	926/611/1	0 4	<del>(; - 4, - 4, -</del>
*	262/1	0 13	59 QC G
	265/1	0 7	Village
· 0	2352/189/1	0 17	<del>-11/</del>
and the same of th	2353/189/1	1 2	RATTIREH
	258/1	0 6	r" - 2
. ≜§ № 0 0 (i <sup>0</sup> ) (i <sup>0</sup>	2231/259/1	0 4	,
- 30 0	253/1 ··· 257/1 · ·	0 2	ar.
-100		0 12	To
	943/189/1 912/189/1	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 8 \end{array}$	0 44
, 0	189/1 ")	0 3	11 10 0
	189/200	0 9	0 0
T	189/310	0 4	.0 0
	189/4/0	0 10	
la la	189/5	0 3	-0 0
W 21 15	288/1	1 2	0 00 68 10 00 36
97 PV 0	216/15	0 4	
0 0 22	217/160	1 3	\$\text{\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$}\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$}\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$}\text{\$}\text{\$}\text{\$\text{\$}}\text{\$\text{\$}\text{\$}\text{\$}\text{\$}\text{\$\text{\$}
0 00 12	2694/217/2/1	1 8	OENOOD SEV
88 CO 0	218/1	0 13	in the hotelic
^ CD 40	204 040	0 2	USn Act,018
20 Dec	209/147	0 6	165/8407820
	210/1	0 3	103/04/020
<b>♦</b>	211/150	0 13	Mace as the
C = C	160/1	0 1	Sf. Rante
er 1 9	161 (40)	0 2	St. Wante No. Wohal
31, 10 ft	162/1: 8	0 4	Sto. Wolfal
25 / 1 V	202/1 9	0 10	0 00 44
- 64 ch	166/1،4	0 2	80 ru 98 44
234 ( ) ()	165 916	0 4	44 Agruga
	164/116	0 2	0 00 30
A	168/696	0 6	0 00 22
	1 99/16	0 3	00 00 0
94 A	97271	0 3	0 00 97
F	945+174/1	0 5	0 01 58
d∈ (1 0 0	172256	3 15	0 02 69
0 00 70 0 00 20	296 <b>2</b> 872/1	2 1	0 00 38
	26127892/1	0 4	$e^{0.0}$ $M^{25}$
0 00 33 0 00 39	26119892/1/1	0 19	appears to
	261\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	2 18	Be likely to
	172/201	0 12	Pradesh Go
0 00 96 0 00 22	26069072/1	0 5	purpose, h
22 170, 0	2616/012/1	2 1	Pattan Roa
5 19 25 ♥	<del></del>	1 0	Kangia, 9
1 (4 (1 0	Total1/271/709436882	1 1	described b
06 00 "	2 <del>610/17</del> 2/1	1 11	purpose.
© Total	Kittas 78\81	44 6	2€ (10 0
(a) 00 22	149/8/ sama	47 0	gn OldT28
0 00 12		Sd/-	section 4 8
0 00 32			inapp com
0 0 22	Superintending Eng VMCircle, H.P.P	Sucer,	0 00 06
9 <u>6</u> 00 0	334/1 334/1	Shimla-3.	In Exerci
70 US 0	3471 <u>349</u> 11	ommu-3.	section, the
. 30	Shimla-3, the Sed July, 1984		atthorise &
7	364/1 364/1		fridertakin
100			apon and
No. SETV-	-R-8/84-10729 $\frac{1320}{5}$ Whereas it	appears to	Albert acts

the Governor, Himachal Pradean that land is likely to be required to be taken by the Himachal Pradesh Government at the public expense for a public purpose, namely for Poice Barrier-Tutikand Phagli-Khalini-Parimahal Road (Shimla bye pass), it is hereby notified that land in the locality described below at likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

884

This notification is made uncer the provision of section 4 of the Rand Acquisition Acquisition Acquisition Alcounty to all whom it may conceith. ()

acin exergise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid scription, the Governor, Hungachal Pradesh is pleased to authorise the officers for time being engaged in the undentaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

the on interested, who has any objection to of the said land in the locality may, within of the publication of the notification, file on in writing before the Collector of L and

	·		. D., Styfilla-3	( willer I	ieic	1).
of L	SHIML	SPE A	CIFICATION	Tehsil: S	HII	MLA
/illage	fr s		اری اری Khas <del>raj</del> No.	В.	Ar g.	ea Bis.
	EHANA		155/110 161/120 161/120	<del></del> )	2 0 0	3 13 13
44	Total	···	3 1/11		3	9
0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0	0 0 0 0				gin	eer,

Dalhousie, the 16th June, 1984 Construction of Siddla-Salooni Jeepable road. 723/1 EVII/R-165 84-11850260.—Partial modification fication under section 4 of the Land Acquisi-01894 issued vide this office letter No. SEVII/R-

140/1

20-23, dated 6-4-84 lh respect of above road is CEL 733 Re of Pal Khasra 734 Correct Khasra No. alread ₹7 No. notified 737 βa 719 852/1

> AD. N. HANDA, Saperintending Engineer.

Hi Circle, H. P. P. W. D.,

Dalhousie.

852/2

749:1 0 Nurpur, the With June, 1984 751/1 SEIX/WSI/DHR-2484-5986-90.-Whereas the Governor of Minachal Pradesh that land to be required to bottaken by the Himachal Government at the public expenses for a public hamely for "Construction of Sadwan-Chamba dad km. 1/650 to 5/880" in Tehsil Dehra, Distriba tis hereby notificab that land in the locality.

745/1

1132 Otification is made under the provisions of of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom 1135

below is likely to below in the below is likely to be below in the below is likely to be below in the below in the below is likely to be below in the bel

esse of the powers conferred by the aforesaid the Governor, Hithachal Pradesh is pleased to The officers for the time being engaged in the king with their servents and workmen to enter required or permitted by that section. 81 00 1154/1

S!Anth person interested, who has any objection to the acquisition of the said lange in the locality may, within 30 days of the publication of this notification in the Rimachal Pradesh Ramita, file an objection in whiting before the Land Achtisition Collector, H.P., P.W.D., Plamirpur. 3811

00 n SPECIFICATION Estrict: 0 KANGRA 1189 Tehsil: DEHRA 1190 1197 TO 0 Area in ilage Khasel No. Hect. 0 1201 00 06 1202 00 09 0

I	2 3 4	5 1	2	3 4 5
4 5 6	0 00 13 0 00 0 0 00 0	3	1203/ 1213/ 1215	0 01 20 1 0 01 65 0 00 29
10 11	0 00 10 0 00 12	? Total	86	0 57 28
12 13	0 00 28 0 00 28	GARLI KI	IAS 14/1	0 01 11
14 15/		•	16/1 592/1 593/1	0 <b>0</b> 0 22 0 00 60 0 01 30
17 18	0 01 09 0 00 30	<u>C</u>	594/1 595/1	0 00 03 0 00 08
61/ 63/	0 02 31		597/1 599/1	0 61 08 0 00 24
115	0 00 55		600/1 601/1	0 00 09 0 00 45
117 118	0 01 44		603/1 604/1	0 01 47 0 00 42
143, 145	0 00 20		607/1 608/1	0 00 50 0 00 76
146 147	0 01 90		609/1 619/1	0 00 03 0 00 25
148/ 149/ 155/	i 0 00 68		620/1 621/1	0 00 66 0 00 20
156/ 156/ 723/	0 01 01		622/1 637/1	0 00 06 0 00 22
723/ 726 727	0 00 30 0 00 27		639/1 640/1	0 00 12 0 00 38
728 731	0 00 20 0 00 38		640/3 641/1	0 00 40 0 00 08
732 733	0 00 10 0 00 22		644/1 645/1	0 00 56 0 00 20
734 735	0 00 22 0 00 22 0 00 22		646/1 647/1	0 00 18 0 00 20
736 737	0 00 36 0 00 44		910/1 914/1	0 01 06 0 01 48
739 740	0 00 44 0 00 44		915 916	0 00 82 0 00 46
740 741 743	0 00 30 0 00 22		917/1 969/1	0 00 32 0 00 06
744 744 745/	0 00 09		971/1 972/1	0 00 ± 20 0 ± 00 30
749/ 749/ 750/1	0 01 58		974/1 975/1	0 00 38 0 00 36
750/1 751/1 754			984/1 985/1	0 00 22 0 00 28
762/1 764			986/1 987/1	0 00 33 0 00 39
765/1 767/1	0 00 16		1000/1 1029/1	0 00 04 0 00 96
768 769	0 00 39 0 00 12		1031/1	0 00 22
770/1 1130/	0 00 12 0 01 97		otal 45	0 19 27
1132 1133	0 00 32 0 01 28	NAHAN	28/1 148/1	0 00 90 0 00 09
1134 1135	0 00 48 0 00 50		149 247/1	0 00 22 0 00 12
1138 1139	0 00 06 0 02 11		285/1 286/1	0 00 32 0 00 22
1141/ 1148/	0 01 75		334/1 349/1	0 00 36 0 00 92
1150/ 1151	0 01 19		350/1 364/1	0 00 30 0 00 75
1152 1154/			369/1 370/1	0 00 19 0 01 20
1181 1182	0 00 12 0 00 10		371 423/1	0 01 07 0 00 63
1183 1184	0 00 14 0 00 16		424/1 425/1	0 00 20 0 00 14
1 185 1 186	0 00 16 0 00 20		426/1 437/1	0 00 16 0 00 28
1187/ 1188	0 00 14		438 439/1	0 00 60 0 01 65
1189 1190	0 00 30 0 00 44		440/1 441/ <b>1</b>	0 00 27 0 00 16
1197 1198	0 01 34 0 00 22		442 443	0 00 32 0 00 36
1199 1201	0 00 96 0 00 70		444/1 448/1	0 00 06 0 00 56
1202	0 00 35	<del></del>	449/1	0 00 21
				Ţ.

	·			Ta i		· ·
	1	2	3 4 5	1	2	3 4 5
		450 451 452 453 467/1 480/1 481/1 483/1 484/1 485/1 486/1 524/1 525/1 531 534 535 536 537 538 540/1 558/1 558/1 558/1 558/1 564/1 564/1 566/1	0 00 40 0 00 48 0 00 75 0 00 45 0 00 14 0 00 72 0 01 02 0 00 46 0 00 45 0 00 48 0 00 68 0 01 20 0 00 36 0 00 36 0 00 36 0 00 38 0 00 14 0 01 48 0 00 38 0 00 14 0 01 48 0 00 38 0 00 34 0 00 38 0 00 34 0 00 38 0 00 44 0 00 38	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	07/1 08/1 09/1 15/1 15/1 15/1 15/1 15/1 15/1 15/1 35/1 35/1 35/1 35/1 353/1 353/1 355/1 356/ 357/1 315/1 315/1 317/1 319/2 522/1 523/1 524/1 525/1 526/1 527/1 528/1 748/1 772/1	0 00 12 0 00 70 0 01 60 0 00 15 0 00 34 0 00 60 0 01 46 0 00 90 0 00 10 0 00 68 0 00 30 0 00 15 0 00 12 0 00 34 0 00 95 6 00 38 0 02 52 0 01 75 0 00 12 0 00 45 0 00 12 0 00 27 0 00 12 0 00 12 0 00 12 0 00 15 0 00 10 0 00 27 0 00 12 0 00 12
	Total	57	0 27 90		748/2 775/1	0 01 83 0 00 78 0 00 78
NA	GROTA-NAHAN	11/1	0 00 38		827/1 828/1	0 00 58 0 01 23
NAC	GROTA-NAHAM	12/1 12/3 14/1 17/1 21/1 22/1 29/1 30/1 33/1 34/1 35/1 36/1 37/1 42/1 43/1 47/1	0 00 38 0 00 90 0 00 30 0 00 66 0 00 66 0 00 30 0 00 52 0 00 36 0 00 40 0 00 10 0 00 20 0 00 24 0 00 72 0 01 40 0 00 40 0 00 00 24 0 00 42 0 00 42 0 00 42 0 00 42 0 00 42 0 00 27		831/1 838/1 840/1 840/1/1 841/1 842/1 843/1 844/1 845/1 845/1 850/1 851/1 852 855	0 01 23 0 00 58 0 00 24 0 00 06 0 00 18 0 00 60 0 00 30 0 00 40 0 00 10 0 00 69 0 00 03 0 00 00 12 0 00 12 0 00 24 0 00 24
		50 53/1	0 00 24 0 00 40		98	0 42 11
		54/1 138/1 138/1/1 139/1 140/1 143/1 144/1 145/1 148/1 150/1 151/1 151/1/1 155/1 164/1 283/1 285/1 287/1 288/1 299/1	0 00 08 0 00 27 0 00 30 0 00 27 0 00 08 0 00 18 0 00 28 0 00 52 0 00 16 0 00 32 0 00 16 0 00 52 0 00 45 0 00 04 0 00 04 0 00 00 10 0 00 03 0 00 03 0 00 32 0 00 66 0 00 32		262/1 269/1 270/1 282/1 283/1 284/1 293/1 290/1 293/1 294 296/1 355/1 358/1 359/1 360/1 362/1 363/1 376/1 376/1 378/1 378/1 378/1 381/1 381/1 381/1	0 03 80 0 01 02 0 00 22 0 05 44 0 00 42 0 00 74 0 00 24 0 01 26 0 00 22 0 01 40 0 00 22 0 01 40 0 00 22 0 00 07 0 00 30 0 00 25 0 00 66 0 00 42 0 00 91 0 01 36 0 00 42 0 00 91 0 01 35 0 00 42 0 00 135 0 00 42 0 00 30 0
	.: 	294 295/1 305/1 306/1	0 00 32 0 00 28 0 00 34 0 00 14		516/1 517/1 518	0 00 34 0 00 26 0 00 09

Grand Total

00

üθ

45 00

# भाग 3---अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाइनेन्शल कमिश्नर तथा कमिश्नर आफ इन्कम-टैक्स द्वारा अधिसुचित आदेश इत्यादि -

0 00 19

0 00

n 00 30

0 00 42

Λ

0 00 46

00

00

00 18

00 38

rules may be

Local Fund

the Himachal

#### FINANCE DEPARTMENT

577/2

589/1

592/1 593/1

594/1

595/1

596

597/1

598/1

(Treasuries and Accounts Organisation)

#### NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 18th January, 1984

No. 14-2 73-Fin (T&A).—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to make the following rules, further to amend the Himachal Pradesh Local Fund Audit Class-IV Service (Recruitment, Promotion and certain conditions of Service) Rules, 1973 which were notified vide Finance Department (Treasuries and Accounts) notification Endst. No. 18-9/72-Fin (T&A), dated 21-11-1973 and subsequently amended vide notification No. 13-9/72-Fin (T&A), dated 6-6-1977 :-

*(i)* 

These

called

Pradesh

#### Peon.

903, GA mendment

mencement.

Short title and com-

8 48

1

Audit Class IV Service (Recruitment, Promotion and certain conditions of Service )(1st Amendment) Rules, 1983. 20 GÓ · (ii) They shall come into 07 CO 0 force w.e.f. the date of 30 00 I/ece issue of this notifica-22 60 () 360/1 tion. 25 00 0 36211 ८82  $0 \oplus$ eletion of rule 5(b).1/EdE The existing clause (b)99 00 364/1 of rule 5 appearing in 42 00 376/1 the recruitment and 16 00 378/1 promotion rules of 36 10 0 178/3 Himachal Pradesh Lo-35 10 0 379/1 cal Fund Audit Class-JV 00 0 rules shall be deleted 330/1 18 00 IMER and clauses (c) and (d) 10 0 382/1 will be read as (b) and CO 0 1,915 (c) respectively. 00 517/1

Sight

in

Fund Audit Class-IV

The existing entry rega--Annexure to Local ... rding-grade and number of posts in the

Service Recruitment and Promotion Rules.

recruitment and promotion rules of Himachal Pradesh Local Fund Audit Class-IV Service Recruitment and Promotion Rules shall be substituted as under:

By order, K. K. MAHAJAN,

Superintending Engineer,

9th Circle, H.P., P.W.D., Nurpur.

15

87

Grade: Rs. 300-430 No. of Posts: 10.

Shimla-2, the 18th January, 1984

356

No. 14-2/73-Fin (T&A).—In exercise of the conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to make the following rules further to amend the Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 1975 in respect of Class-II (Gazetted Post) of Resident Audit Officers in the Local Audit Department, Himachal Pradesh framed by fication No. 14-2/73-Fin (T&A), dated the 2nd July, 1975 and further amended vide notification No. Fin

(TR)-A (4)-2/75, dated the 8the June, 1976:-Short title and com- (i) These rules may mencement. called the Class-II 142. Gazetted posts of Resident Audit Officers in the Local Audit Department, Himachal Pra-00 O desh Recruitment and ി :50 0 (Second Promotion Amendment) Rules, 1: 11: 1983 shall come into' di; (ii) They force from the date of 110 0 10 00notification. 03 00 0 The existing entry in of rule SF2 (Amendment 002 of the Recruitment 785 rule 2 of the Recruit-16 0 and Promotion Rules 38 ment and Promotion 00 of or) the posts of Resi-082 Rules for the posts of 35 45 ()()dent Audit Officers. 005 Resident Audit Offi-1/892 cers shall be substituted 66 00 ct 00 0 294 as under :-"Three" 28 00 0 295/1 00 n 305/1 413.00 Amoundment of rule 108. The existing entry in

4 of the Recruitment \_\_ rule4 of the Recruitment

and Promotion Rules

and Promotion Rules

for the posts of Resident Audit Officers.

for the posts of Resident Audit Officers shall be substituted as under: "Rs. 825-25-850-30-1000 40-1200-50-1400/60-1580.

Addition of new rule 14 in the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the posts of Resident Audit Officers.

The following shall be added as rule 14 of the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the posts of Resident Audit Officers under heading "Relexation from the

provisions of these rules" --

ment is of the opinion

the Govern-

Where

that it is necessary or expedient to do so it may by order for 1easons to be recorded in writing and in consultation with the Public Service Commission relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect of any class or catepersons or gory of post.

Deletion of Notes (ii) and (iii) below the Recruitment and Promotion Rules in respect of posts of Resi-

dent Audit Officers.

The existing notes (ii) and (iii) appearing below the Recruitment

and Promotion Rules for the posts of Resident Audit Officers shall be deleted.

S. M. KANWAR, Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

#### Shimla-2, the 18th January, 1984

No. 14-2/73-Fin. (T&A).—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to make the following amendments in the Recruitment and Promotion Rules pertaining to Class-III posts of Stenotypists in the Local Audit Department, Himachal Pradesh notified vide notification No. 14-2/73-Fin(T&A), dated the 13th August, 1975:-

- Short title and commencement.
- (i) These rules may be Class-III called the (Non-Gazetted) posts of Steno-typists in the Local Audit Department (1st Amendment) Rules, 1983.
- (ii) They shall come into force from the date of this notification.

existing

entry

- Amendment of rule against column 2 of the 2 of the Recruitment Recruitment and Promoand Promotion Rules tion rules for the posts of the posts of for Steno-typists shall be sub-Steno-typists. stituted as under:"Two".
  - Amendment of rule The existing entry in 4 in the Recruitment rule 4 of the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for and Promotion Rules of Steno-typists.

the posts of Steno-typists shall be substituted as under :-400-600/- plus Rs. 25/special pay.

The existing entry in Amendment of rule 6 in the Recruitment rule 6 of the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the posts of Steno-typists.

5. Addition of new rule 14 in the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the posts of Stenotypists.

and Promotion Rules for the posts of Steno-typists shall be substituted as under :-"18-30".

The following shall be added as rule 14 of Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the posts of Steno-typists under heading "Relexation from the provisions of these rules" .-

Where the Government is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient to do so it may by order for reasons to be recorded in writing and in consultation with the Department of Personnel (Apptt.) relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect to any class or category of persons or posts.

- 6. Deletion of foot-note below the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the posts of Steno-typists.
- Addition of new footnotes below the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the posts of Steno-typists.

and Promotion Rules for the posts of Steno-typists shall be deleted. The following new footnotes No. 1 to 9 shall be

below the Recruitment

appearing

Foot-note

added below the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the posts of Steno-typists:-

Foot-notes .- 1. A candidate for appointment to the service or post must be:-

- (a) a citizen of India, or
- (b) a subject of Nepal or
- (c) a subject of Bhutan, or
- (d) a Tibetan refugee who came over to India before the 1st January, 1962 with the intention of permanently settling in India, or
- a person of Indian origin who has migrated from Pakistan, Burma, Sri Lanka, East African countries of Kenya, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania (formerly Tanganyika and Zanzibar), Zambia, Malawi, Zaire and Ethopia with the intention of permanently settling in India:

Provided that a candidate belonging to categories (b), (c), (d) and (e) shall be a person in whose favour a certificate of eligibility has been issued by the Government of India/State Government.

A candidate in whose case a certificate of eligibility is necessary may be admitted to an examination or interview conducted by the appointing authority, but the offer of appointment may be given only after the necessary eligibility certificate has been issued to him by the Government of India/Government of Himachal Pradesh.

- Upper age limit for direct recruits will not be applicable to the candidates already in the service of the Government of Himachal Pradesh.
- 3. Upper age limit is relaxable for Scheduled Castes/ Scheduled Tribes, Ex-Servicemen and other categories of persons to the extent permissible under the general or special orders of the Himachal Pradesh Government.
- Age limit for direct recruits will be reckoned from the last date fixed for receipt of applications by the appointing authority.

- 5. Age and qualifications for direct recruits are relaxable at the discretion of the appointing authority.
- 6. Provisions of columns 10 and 11 are to be revised by the Government as and when the number of posts under column 2 are increased or decreased.
- 7. Selection for appointment to these posts in the case of direct recruitment shall be made on the basis of a viva-voce test, if the appointing authority so considers necessary or expedient by a written test, the standard/syllabus etc. of which will be determined by the appointing authority.
- 8. The employees of all the public sector corporations and autonomous bodies who happened to be Government servant before absorption in public sector corporation/autonomous bodies shall be allowed age concession in direct recruitment as admissible

Government servants. This concession will not,

however, be admissible to such staff of the public sector corporations/autonomous bodies who were/are subsequently appointed by such corporations/autonomous bodies and are/were finally absorbed in the service of such corporations/autonomous bodies after initial constitution of the public sector corporations/autonomous bodies.

9. The appointment to this service shall be subject to orders regarding reservations in the services for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Ex-Servicemen/Backward Classes issued by the Himachal Pradesh Government from time to time subject to minimum qualifications being satisfied by them.

S. M. KANWAR, Financial Commissioner.

# भाग 4-स्थानीय स्वायत शासनः म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और टाउन एरिया तथा पंचायती राज विभाग

# माग 5--वयक्तिक ग्रधिसचनाएं और विज्ञापन

In the Court of District Judge, Shimla, Bilaspur and Kingaur districts at Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

In case:

Smt. Chandra Wati widow of late Shri Jagat Singh, resident of village Karaba, P.O. Katgaon, Tehsil Nichar, District Kinnaur through her General Attorney Shri Davinder Singh . . Petitioner.

Versus

General Public

Respondent

Petition under Part X of Act 1 of 1925

To

The general public.

WHEREAS in the above noted case/petition the petitioner Smt. Chandrawati widow of late Shri Jagat Singh has applied for the grant of Succession Certificate in respect of security/deposit of late Shri Jagat Singh.

Notice is hereby given to the general public, relation and kinsmen of deceased Shri Jagat Singh s/o Shri Ram Chharing, resident of village Karaba, P.O. Katgaon, Tehsil Nichar, District Kinnaur, that if any body has got any objection for the grant of Succession Certificate the same be filed in this court on or before 24-7-1984 failing which the petition shall be heard and decided ex-parte.

Given under my hand 'and the seal of this Court, this 26th day of June, 1984.

Seal.

Sd|-Superintendent, District and Sessions Judge, Shimla

In the Court of District Judge Shimla, Bilaspur and Kinnaur districts at Shimla, H.P.

In case:

Shri Dharam Parkash, r/o Oak Wood place, Jakhoo, Shimla .. Petitioner.

Versus

General public and others.

Application u/s 372 of Indian Succession Act by Shri Dharam Parkash son of late Shri Ved Parkash, r/o Oakwood place, Jakhoo to the property and credit of late Smt. Shanti Devi.

Tο

The general public.

Whereas in the above noted petition the petitioner has applied for the grant of Succession Certificate in respect of Smt. Shanti Devi, r/o Oakwood place, Jakhoo, Shimla.

Notice is hereby given to the general public, relation, and kinsmen of late Smt. Shanti Devi that if any body has got any favour of the petitioner the same be filed in this court on or before 1-8-1984 failing which the petition shall be heard and decided ex-parte.

Given under my hand and the seal of this Court, this 23rd day of June, 1984.

Seal.

**Su**perintendent, District and Sessions Judge, Shimla.

Sd/-

In The Court of Shri A. L. Vaidya, Additional District Judge, Shimla, Kinnaur And Bilaspur districts at Shimla H. P.

S. A. No. 4-S/2 of 1984

In Re: Kamal Nain Sharma son of late Shri Dina Nath Sharma, r/o Kasumpti, Shimla-9 ...Petitioner.

Versus

General public

...Respondent.

Petition u/s 372 of Indian Succession Act for the grant of Succession Certificate,

10

The general public.

Whereas in the above noted petition the petitioner Shri Kamal Nain Sharma has applied for the grant of Succession Certificate through Shri G. D. Verma Adv., Shimla in respect of the debts and securities of late Smt. Kalawati Sharma wd/o late Shri Dina Nath Sharma.

Notice is hereby given to general public, relations and kinsmen of the deceased Smt. Kalawati Sharma, that if anybody has got any objection for the grant of Succession Certificate in favour of the above noted petitioner the same be filed in this court or before 4-8-1984 failing which the petition shall be heard and decided ex parte.

Given under my hand and the seal of this court this 28th day of June, 1984.

Seal.

A. L. VAIDYA,

Additional District Judge, Shimla, H,P. In the Court of Shri Jagmohan Singh Mahantan, Sub-Judge, 1st Class, II Hamirpur (Himachal Pradesh)

> CMA No. 1/84 VS.

Gumer Singh

Pupar etc.

Versus

1. Sarvshri Babu Ram, 2. Ram Chand, 3. Thakur Dass ss/o Shri Kirpa Ram, r/o Tika Ghar Chehri Balbala, Tappa Majhog Samluhi, Tehsil and District

Hamirpur. Whereas in the above noted case it has been proved

to the satisfaction of this court that the above noted defendent's cannot be served through ordinary course

of summons issued to them received back unserved. Hence proclamation under Order 5, Rule 20, C.P.C. is hereby issued to them to appear in this Court on 6-8-84 at 10.00 A.M. personally or through an Advocate or authorised agent to defend the case, failing which ex parte proceedings shall be taken against them.

Given under my hand and seal of the court today the 27th day of June, 1984.

JAGMOHAN SINGH MAHANTAN Seal. Sub-Judge 1st Class (II), Hamirpur, H.P.

In the Court of Shri J. N. Barowalia, Sub-Judge 1st Class, Dehra, District Kangra, H. P.

CIVIL SUIT No. 158/83

Om Parkash versus

Beena d/o Bisheshri Devi c/o Sohan Lal Om Parkash Verma, Biscuit Factory, Khan Alampur Chowk, Saharanpur, U. P. 2. Kaju Mals/o Jai Dayal, r/o Garh Dakeli Garli, Tehsil Dehra, District Kangra at present

c/o M/s Kaju Mal Rajneesh Kumar, Shop-keeper, Jutogh, Shimla .. Defendants. Whereas in the above noted case it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that the above noted defendants are evading the service of the summons and cannot be served in the normal course of the service. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued against the defendants

to appear in this court on the date fixed for hearing i. e. 13-8-1984 at 10 A. M. personally or through an agent or pleader to defend the case, failing which ex-parte proceedings will be taken against them. Given under my hand and seal of the court to-day

the 22nd day of June, 1984.

Seal.

J. N. BAROWALIA, Sub-Judge Ist class, Dehra, District Kangra, H. P.

ब ग्रदालत श्री इन्द्र राम, सब-रजिस्ट्रार प्रथम श्रेणी, रोहड़, जिला शिमला

श्री विशम्बर सिंह पुत्र अनदास, निवासी करासा, तहसील रोहड़, जिला शिमला।

वनाम ग्राम जनता

दावा दिवानी वाद' संख्या : 54-1 ग्राफ 84

दावा u/s 372 भारतीय सक्सैशन ऐक्ट के स्रधीन प्रमाण-पत्न पाने हेतु

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम:

हरगाह मुकद्मा उपरोक्त उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री हरतार सिंह पुत्र स्वर्गीय श्री विशम्बर सिंह, निवासी करासा, तहसील रोहड् ने इस ग्रदालत में ग्रधीन धारा 372 भारतीय सक्सेशन ऐक्ट के ग्रधीन

सक्सेंगन प्रमाण-पत्न पाने हेतु दावा दःयर कर रखा है।

लिहाजा प्रोकलामेशन ग्रण्डर ग्रार्डर 5 नियम 20 सी 0 पी 0 सी 0 जारी करके जन साधारण को इत्तलाह दी जाती है कि इस वारा यदि किसी को कोई उजर हो तो वह मिति 30-7-84 को प्रात: दस वजे ग्रदालन में हाजिर हो अन्यया कार्यवाही एकतरफा ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

ग्राज दिनांक 29-6-84 को हस्ताक्षर मेरे व मोहर ग्रदालत से जारी हम्रा ।

मोहर।

इन्द्र राम मव-जज रोहडू, जिला शिमला ।

PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C.P.C. Court of Sub-Judge (1) Shimla, District Shimla, H.P.

52/1 of 84

Himachal Pradesh Horticulture Produce Marketing & Processing Corporation Limited, A Govt. Undertaking, Regd. Office at Nigam Vihar, Shimla-2 and Branch Office Subzimandi, Shimla

VS.

Balak Ram and others Defendents. To

Des Raj etc.

Shri Lekh Ram Singh, Driver, H.P. P.W.D., Rohru, District Shimla, H.P. Whereas in the above noted case it has been proved

dent is evading the service of the summons and cannot be served in the normal course of the service. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued against him to appear in this Court on 7-8-1984 at sharp 10 A.M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader to defend the case, failing which ex-parte proceeding will be taken against him.

to the satisfaction of this Court that the above defen-

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 7th day of July, 1984. RAM LAL AZAD,

Seal.

Sub-Judge (1), Shimla, Himachal Pradesh. PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C.P.C.

In the Court of Shri T. C. Janartha, SDO(C) Exercising the powers of Collector, Palampur, District Kangra

Shri Kehar Singh, 2. Shri Ram Singh sons of Shri Gopi Ram, residents of village Balla, Post Office Paraur, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra

.. Applicant.

.. Respondents.

1. Shrimati Kanta d/o Mangat Ram, 2. Jagdish Ram s/o Nidhu and legal representative of Shri Faqir Chand deceased, 3. Smt. Soma Devi d/o Nidhu Ram, 4. Shri Om Prakash s/o Ram Rattan, 5. Smt. Darshani Devi d/o Ram Rattan, 6. Kumari Vina Devi d/o Ram Rattan, residents of village Balla, P.O. Paraur, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra

Application under section 4 of the restitution of mortgage (H. P. Act 1976) regarding Land Khasra No. 39, Khatauni No. 112, Khasra No. 2134 land measu-ring 0-10-86 situated in Mohal Balla, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra according to copy of Misal Haquiyat Bandobast Jadid.

Whereas in the above noted case, it has been proved

to the satisfaction of this Court that the above noted defendents/respondents are evading the service of the summons and cannot be served in the normal course of service. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued against them to appear in this Court on the date fixed for hearing on 6-8-1984 at 10 A.M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader to defend the case, failing which ex parte proceedings will be taken against

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 17th day of February, 1984.

Seal.

SDO(C)-cum-Collector, Palampur.

T. C. JANARTHA,

PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C.P.C.

In the Court of Shri T. C. Jauartha, Sub-Divisional Officer, Civil-cum-Collector, Palampur, District K angra H. P.

Case No. 1 of 1983 Date of hearing 6-8-1984

Jai Dev Dharam son of Shri Brahma son of Barfi, resident of Tika and Mauza Khaleth, Tehsil Palmpur.
...Applicant.

Versus

1. Jagdish Kumar, 2. Hazari Lal sons of Thakur Dass, resident of village Arla, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra H.P. . . Respondent.

Versus: Jagdish Kumar s/o Thakur Dass, resident of village and P.O. Arla, Tehsil Palampur.

Whereas in the above noted case, it has been proved to the satisfaction of the court that the above noted respondent is evading the service of the summons and cannot be served in the normal course of service. Hence, this proclamation is hereby issued against him to appear in this court on the date fixed for hearing on 6-8-1984 at 10 A. M. personally or through an authorised agent of pleader to defend the case, failing which ex-parte proceedings will be taken against him.

Issued this 12th day of June, 1984 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

T. C. JANARTHA, S. D. O. (C)-cum-Collector, Palampur.

PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20 C.P.C.

In the Court of Shri T. C. Janartha SDO(C)-cum-Collector, Palampur, District Kangra, H.P.

Case No. 3 of 1982

Date of hearing 7-8-1984.

Smt. Sita Devi daughter of Smt. Subhadra Devi wd/o Sali Ram son of Adalti, resident of Mohal Kakrehar, Mauza Khera, Tehsil Palampur ...Plaintiff.

Versus

Chanchal Paul etc.

..Desendents.

Versus: Shri Chanchal Paul son of Mahlla Singh son of Sahab Singh, resident of Mohal Kakrehar, Mauza Khera, Tehsil Palampur.

Whereas in the above noted case, it has been proved to the satisfaction of the court that the above noted defendant is evading the service of the summons and can not be served in the normal course of service. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued against him to appear in this court on the date fixed for hearing on 7-8-1984 at 10 A. M. personally or through an authorised agent of pleader to defend the case, failing which ex-parte

proceedings will be taken against him.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court this 18th day of June, 1984.

Seal.

T. C. JANARTHA, S. D. O. (C)-cum-Collector, Palampur,

श्रदालती इश्तहार जेर श्रार्डर 5, रूल 20, सी 0 पी 0 सो 0

 ग्रदालत जनाब जे 0 ग्रार 0 वर्मा, कुलैंक्टर सरकाघाट, जिला मण्डी हिमाचल प्रदेश

मि0 नं0 21 मरजुम्रा 21-10-1982

बमुकदमाः भजनू बनाम भीखम वगैरा।

(1) भजनू देवी विध्वा श्री जगता, निवासी तरोहला, इ0 कमलाह, (2) श्री गोपाल सिंह पुत्र श्री जगता, वजरिया, सरप्रस्त भजनू देवी, निवासी तरोहला, इ0 कमलाह, तहसील सरकाघाट, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश श्रीपीलांट।

वनाम

(1) दलीप सिंह पुत्र जगता, (2) मिनया पुत्र दास, (3) मु0 नाजकू विधवा श्री जगता, (4) वालो देवी पुत्री श्री जगता बजिरया सरप्रस्त नाजकू देवी, (5) ठाकुर पुत्र श्री धनी, (6) सेम पुत्र धनी, (7)श्रमर सिंह पुत्र धनी, निवासी तरोहला, (7)श्रमर सिंह पुत्र धनी, (7)श्रमर सिंह पुत्र धनी, (7)श्रमर सिंह पुत्र धनी, (7)श्रमर सिंह पुत्र धनी, (7)श्रमर सिंह पुत्र सिंह पुत्र धनी, (7)श्रमर सिंह पुत्र धनी, (7)श्रमर सिंह पुत्र सिंह पुत्र धनी, (7)श्रमर सिंह पुत्र सिंह

त्रपील उपरोक्त में तरतीवी फरीक दोयम को कई बार इस न्याया<sup>3</sup>

ग्रपील जेर धारा 14 भू-राजस्व म्रधिनियम।

लय से समन जारी किये गये मगर उन पर हस्ब जाब्ता तामील नहीं हो रही है। इससे यही प्रतीत हो रहा है कि तरतीवी फरीक दोयम जानवूझ कर तामील करने से टालमटोल कर रहे हैं। श्रदालत को भी विश्वास हो चुका है कि तरतीबी फरीक दोयम पर तामील समन भे साधारण तरीका से होनी कि हिन है। श्रदाः इस इश्तहार द्वारा तरतीबी फरीक दोयम को सूचित किया जाता है कि तारीख पेशी दिनांक 26-7-1984 समय 10 बजे श्रदालत हजा में श्रसालतन या वकालतन हाजर होकर पैरवी मुकद्मा करें वरना कार्यवाही एक तरफा श्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

श्राज दिनांक 26 जून, 1984 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर श्रदालत से जारी हुग्रा। मोहर। जे0श्रार0 वर्मा, कुलैक्टर, सरकाघाट जिला मण्डी।

ग्रदालती इक्तहार जेर भ्रार्डर 5,रूल 20, सी 0 पी 0 सी 0

व ग्रदालत जनाव श्री जे0 ग्रार0वर्मा, कुलैक्टर सरकाघाट,जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश मि0 नं0 22 मरजुग्रा 21-10-82

व मुकद्माः भजन वनाम भीखम वगैरा।

मु 0 भजनू देवी विधवा जगता, निवासी तरोहला इ 0 कमलाह, तहसील सरकाघाट, जिला मण्डी .. ग्रपीलांट

वनाम

(1) दलीप सिंह पुत्र जगता, (2) बालो देवी वजरिया सरप्रस्त श्रीमती नाजकू देवी विधवा जूगता, (3) मु0 नाजकू विधवा जगता, निवासी तरोहला, इ0 कमलाह, तहसील सरकाघाट, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल उप्रदेश तरतीबी फरीक दोयम ।

ग्रपील जेर धारा 14 भू0 रा0 ग्र0

ग्रपील उपरोक्त में तरतीवी फरीक दोयम को ग्रदालत हजा से कई बार समन जारी किये गये परन्तु तरतीवी फरीक दोयम घर पर ' दस्तायाव नहीं हो रहे हैं ग्रौर तामील समन से गुरेज कर रहे हैं। ग्रदालत हजा को पूर्ण विश्वास हो चुका है कि तरतीवी फरीक दोयम पर तानील समन साधारण तरीका से होना कठिन है। ग्रतः तरतीवी फरीक दोयमें को इस इण्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वे दिनांक 26-7-1984 समय 10 वजे मुबह ग्रमालतन या वकालतन ग्रदालत हजा में हाजर होकर पैरवी मुकद्मा करें वरना कार्यवाही जाव्ता ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

म्राज दिनांक 26 जून, 1984 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदालन

से जारी हुआ।

मोहर्ग ।

जे0 ग्रार0 वर्मा, कुल क्टर, मरकाघाट, जिला मण्डी।

व ग्रदालत ग्राई0 एन0 बाली, सहायक समाहर्ता, द्वितीय वर्ग, ग्रकीं, जिला सोलन, हिम।चल प्रदेश

दावा:-दहस्ती गिरदावरी वाबत ग्रराजी खसरा नं 0 34, 57, 127, किता 3, रकबा तादादी 13 वीघा 10 वि:वा वाक्या मौजा कोयला सनोग, परगना दवारी जुनाहल, तहसील ग्रकी, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

फरीकेन:-तुलसी राम पुत्र गोकल राम, निवासी कोयल सनोग, परगना दवारी जुनाहल, तहसील ग्रकीं, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

वनाम

जिया लाल, किशोरी लाल पिसरान प्रहलाद, दीना नाथ पुत्र नन्द लाल, श्रीमती सिवत्नी, रामेश्वरी, विद्या देवी,पुतियां नन्द लाल, मु ० शिवदेई बेबा नन्द लाल, निवासी पट्टा, परगना सरयांज, तहसील ग्रर्की, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश। विज्ञापन 11

उक्त दावा सेहत इन्दराज खसरा गिरदावरी में जब भी फरीक होयम को समन द्वारा हाजिर ग्राने के लिए लिखा गया तो समन तामीलें नहीं हुए। इस प्रकार जो साधारण निर्धारित तरीका है, उसके ेफलस्वरूप फरीकेन दोयम ग्राजतक हाजिर न ग्राए हैं ग्रौरनही इस दावा का फैसला हो सका है। दावा देरीना हो चुका है।

ग्रत: ग्राज दिनांक 8-6-1984 को इस विज्ञापन द्वारा सर्वश्रीमती सावित्नी, रामेश्वरी, विद्या देवी पुत्रियां नन्द लाल, निवासी पट्टा, परगना सरयोज तहसील, ग्रर्की को सूचित किया जाता है कि वह स्वयं, या ग्रपने वकील द्वारा दिनांक 27-7-1984 को प्रात: 10 वजे उपस्थित हो कर ग्रपने दावा की पैरवी करें। ऐसा न करने पर यकतरफा कार्यवाही उनके विरुद्ध ग्रमल में लाते हुए ग्रागे कार्यवाही की जाएगी।

ग्राज दिनांक 2-7-84 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदालत से जारी हम्रा।

मोहर ।

ग्राई0 एन0 वाली, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय वर्ग, ग्रकी ।

ब श्रदालत जनाव श्री निर्मल सिंह सुमन, तहसीलदार एवं सहायक 🛴 समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

दमोदरी

बनाम

शरधा राम ग्रादि।

मुकदमा नं 0 53/एन 0 टी 0 वी 0 ग्राफ 1984 तकसीम भूमि

तकसीम भूमि खाता नं 0 38 मिन खतौनी नं 0 10 खसरा नं 0 हाल किता 12 भूमि तादादी 0-76-89 हैक्टर वाक्या महाल भेठ झीकली व खाता न 0 36 मिन खत नी न 0 6 खसरा हाल न 0 किता 13 भूमि तादादी 0-77-06 हैक्टर, वाक्या महाल भेठ झिकली ग्रौर खाता नं0 371 मिन खतौनी नं 0 6 खसरा किता 8 भूमि तादादी 0-24-95 हैक्टर वाक्या महाल भेठ झिकली, तहसील बैजनाथ ।

नोटिस बनाम

पहाडू, 4. जुलमी राम, 5. 1. शरधा राम, 2. झोंफी 3. धर्म सिंह पुतान, 6. दाड़ो, 7. छीड़ी पुतियां फैफड़ा, 8. छोगक राम,

9. दूलो राम, 10. ग्रमरु राम, पुत्रान, 11. श्रीमती सविवी, 12. श्रीमती रोंगली, 13. श्रीमती घुम्मली विधवा रूमी, निवासी मान, महाल भेट झिक्ली, मीजा पपरोला, तहसील बैजनाय ।

व मुकदमा उपरोक्त में उपरोक्त ग्रयाजी की तकसीम इस ग्रदालत में चली हैं ग्रीर मुकदमा काफी समय में विचाराधीन चला ग्रा रहा है। उत्तरवादीगण की तामील स्रासान ढंग से होनी मुश्किल है जि. के कारण मुकदमा विना वजह देरीना हो रहा है।

ग्रनः ग्रापको (उत्तरवादीगण) वजरिया इ<u>श्तहार राजपत्र मुचित</u> किया जाता है कि ग्राप ग्रदालन में बराये पैरवी मुकदमा ग्रदालन हजा में दिनांक 30-7-84 को प्रातः 10 वर्जे हाजिर होकर पैर्वी मकदमा करें हाजिर न ग्राने की सूरत में ग्रापके विरुद्ध कार्यवाही जाब्ता ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

म्राज यह इण्तहार मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदालत मे जारी हुगा।

निर्मल िंह सूमन, तहसीलदार एवं महायक ममाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी. वैजनाथ, जिला कांगडा।

वस्रदालत श्री स्नार0 एल0 गर्मा, तहसीलदार महायक समाहर्ना द्वितीय श्रेणी, वड्मर, जिला हमीरपर

किस्म मुकद्दमा दरुस्ती इन्द्राज

उनवान:- दरुस्ती इन्द्राज खमरा गरदावरी खाता नं 0 585 मिन खतौनी नम्बरान 730 मिन, 731 मिन, खमरा नम्बर 794/2 तादादी 1 क0 15 म 0 व 794/3 तादादी 2 क0 18 म 0 जुमला रकवा 4 क 0 13 म 0 अनुमार जमाबन्दी 1978-79 वाक्या टीका विझड़, मौजा इटवाल, तहसील बड़सर ।

रामेश्वर दास

वनाम

ग्राम जनता।

नोटिसः ग्राम जनता ।

उपरोक्त उनवान बाला में श्री रामेश्वर दास सेवक श्री बलवन्त गिर चेला राम दयाल गिर, वासी विझड़, मौजा ढटवाल, तहसील, बड़मर ने दरस्वास्त दी है कि ब मुजव रपट रोज नामचा पटवारी हल्का विझड नं 0 284 मुबरखा 1-3-80 व कब्जा सूरती मन्दिर महादेव व टीका विझड बरास्त महन्त बलवन्त गिर चेला राम दयाल गिर दर्ज कागजात माल में चला ग्रा रहा है । श्री महन्त वलवन्त गिर मजक्र मवरखा 28-12-83 को स्वर्गवास हो चुका है। महत्त मजकूर कोई दीगर शहश चेला सेवक नहीं है बल्कि सायल ही 3 साल से महन्त मजकूर की सेवा करता चला आया है। इस वास्ते उपरोक्त रकवा व सम्पत्ति मन्दिर कृटिया स्रादि मजकूर रामेश्वर दास सेवक के नाम दर्ज होना जरूरी है। इस बारा रामेश्वर दास सेवक ने ग्रपने पक्ष में चार गवाह पेश किये हैं। जो कि इसके कब्जा की पुष्टि करने हैं। ग्रगर किसी ग्रौर दीगरान महन्त या जनसाधारण जनता को कोई एतराज हो तो वह तिथि 1-8-84 को सुबह 10 बजे ग्रसालतन या वकालतन हाजिर ग्रावें ग्रन्यथा जाब्ता ग्रनुसार कार्यवाही की जायेगी।

ग्राज दिनांक 29-6-84 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदालत से जारी हुग्रा ।

मोहर ।

ग्रार0 एल0 शर्मा, तहसीलदार, सहायक समाहर्ता, द्वितीय श्रेणी, बड़सर, जिला हमीरपुर ।

इश्तहार जेर ब्रार्डर 5, रूल 20, सी 0 पी 0 सी 0

ब ग्रदालत जनाव श्री के 0 डी 0 चौहान, सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्रीमती खोमी पुत्नी मनी राम व श्रीमती सरनू विधवा मनी राम पूत्र सिप्, निवासी पाईधार सानन, इ० वगड़ा, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश । बनाम

ं राम दास, सिंघु, मंघरन, श्रीमती कौलु विधवा मनी राम व पोशी पुती

सिपु, निवासी सानन, इलाका वगड़ा, तहसील करसोग, ज़िला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

दरस्वास्त तकसीम भूमि खेवट नं 0 36/106 किते 18 रकबा 40-13-3 बीघा वाक्या मुहाल स्यांज, इलाका वगड़ा, तहसील करसोग, ज़िला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

तलवी:-श्रीम 11 पोशी पुत्री सिपु, निवासी सानन, इलाका बगड़ा, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

उपरोक्त मुकद्गा उनवान बाला में उपरोक्त फरीक दोयम को कई बार प्रदालत हजा से समनात बराये पैरवी मुकद्दमा जारी किए गए मगर फरीक दोयम जा । सकूनत पर दस्ते गब नहीं हो रही है और तामील समन से गुरेज कर रही हैं। ग्रदालत को पूर्ण विश्वास हो चुका है कि फरीक दोयम पर तामील समन साधारण तरीका से होनी कठिन है। स्रतः श्रीमती पोशी फरीक दोयम को इस इश्तहार जेर ग्रार्डर 5 हल 20, सी 0 पी 0 सी 0 द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि दिनांक 5-8-84 समय 10 वजे सुबह मुकाम करसीग उपस्थित होकर पैरवी मुकहमा करे

ग्राज दिनांक 18-5-84 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदालत से जारी हुआ।

वसरत दीगर कार्यवाही एक तरफा ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

मोहर।

के 0 डी 0 चौ हान, सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, तहसील करसोग,

जिला मण्डी।

वग्रदालत श्री गिरधारी लाल शर्मा, तहसीलदार सहायक सयाहर्ता, द्वितीय श्रेणी, कांगड़ा बराए तकसीम वेस नं 125/83

संजय कुमार वनाम लभु राम

(1) श्री संजय कुमार वल्द वृजलाल (2) गायत्री देवी विषवा वृज लाल, वासी चाहड़ी, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा ..नाइलान ।

(1) श्री लभूराम (2) सत्यनारायण पिसरान वलोकनाय, साकन चाहड़ी, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा ..ममूलइलम् ।

दरखास्त वराए तकसीम ग्रराजी खा ।। नं 0 3, खतौनी नं 0 5, 6, 7, 8,9 10 खारा नम्बरान हाल 306,320,322,373,374 362, 370,371,391,361,372,304. कि । 12 रकवा 0-50-61 वाक्या महाल चाहड़ी खास, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा।

उपरोक्त विषय के सम्बन्ध में लिखा जाता है कि श्री संजय बनाम श्री लभु राम ग्रादि के नाम कई बार समन जारी किए गए परन्तु उनका न पता मिल रहा है और न ही तामील हो रही है। इसलिए ग्रदालन को पूर्ण विण्वास हो चुका है कि उनकी तामील ग्रासान तरीका से न हो सकती है। ग्रतः उन्हें इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वे बराए पैरवी मुकदमा उपरोक्त हमारी ग्रदालत में ग्रमालतन या वकालतन मिति 4-8-84 को हाजिर यावें। गैर हाजिरी की सूरत में

ग्राज दिनांक------ को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ब्रदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

कार्रवाई यक तरफा ग्रमल में लाई जाएगी।

श्री संजय कुमार

मोहर ।

गिरधारी लाल शर्मा, सहायक कुर्नेक्टर, द्वितीय श्रेण, कांगडा ।

लभूराम

वस्रदालन श्री गिरधारी लाल गर्मा, नहमीलदार साहिव सहा क कुर्नेक्टर, द्वितीय श्रेणी, कांगडा

वनाम

किस ने 0 130/83 बराउं तकसीम

(1) श्री संजय कुमार पुत्र श्री वृजलाल (2) गायत्री देवी विधवा वृज्ञताल, वासी चाहबी, नहसील व जिला कांगड़ा ..साइलान ।

(1) श्री लम् राम, (2) मत्यनारायण पिमरान त्रलोक सिंह उर्फ

वलोक नाय, (3) शिव राम, (4) देव राज, (5) कृष्ण दास, (6) प्रवीण चन्द पिसरान सूजू पुत्र साहवदितू, साकन चाहडी, तहसील व जिला ..मसुलइलम ।

दरखास्त बराए तकसीम अराजी खाता नं 0 9, खतौनी नं 0 47,48, 49 ख0 नं0 179, 413, 435 436, 487, 489, 488 किता 7 रकत्रा 0-44-83, बात्रया महाल दुगां, तहसील व जिला कांगडा।

उपरोक्त विषय के सम्बन्ध में लिखा जाता है कि श्री संजय कुमार वनाम लभू राम ग्रादि के नाम कई बार समन जारी किए गए परूत् उनका न पंता मिल रहा है ब्रीर न हो तामील हो रही है। इसलिए ग्रदालत को पूर्ण विश्वास हो चुका है कि उनकी तामील ग्रासान तरीका से न हो सकती है। ग्रतः उन्हें इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वे बराए पैरवी मुकद्दमा उनरोक्त हमारी ग्रदालत में ग्रसालतन या वकालतन मिति 4-8-84 को हाजर ग्रावें। गैर हाजरी की सूरत में कारवाई यक तरफा ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

ग्राज दिनांक -----को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर ।

गिरधारी लाल शर्मा, सहायक कुलैक्टर, द्वितीय श्रेणी ।

· War

बम्रदालत श्री जी 0 एल 0 शर्मा, तहसीलदार साहिव ए 0 सी 0 II ग्रेड, कांगड़ा

कैस नं0 129/83 बराए तकसीम

संजय कुमार

लभू राम 🎶

(1) श्री संजय कुमार पुत्र वृजनाल, (2) गायती देवी विधवा वृज लाल, वासी चाहड़ी, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा

🕽 ँ(1) श्री लभू राम, (2) सत्यनारायण पिसरान तिलोक नाथ, वासी चहड़ी, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा ..मसूलइलम ।

दरख्वास्त बराए तकसीम अराजी खाता नं 0 8 खतौनी नं 0 45/ 46 ख0 नम्बर हाल 127-277-278-401 किता 5 रकवा 0-32-55 वाक्या महाल दुगां, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा।

उपरोक्त विषय के सम्बन्ध में लिखा जाता है कि श्री संजय वनाम श्री लभू राम ग्रादि के नाम कई बार समन जारो किए गए परन्तु उनका न पता मिल रहा है और नहीं तामील हो रही है। इसलिए ग्रदालत को पूर्ण विश्वास हो चुका है कि उनकी तामील ग्रासान तरीका से न हो सकती है। ग्रतः उन्हें इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वे वराए पैरवी मुकद्मा उत्ररोक्त हमारी ग्रदालत में ग्रसालतन या वकालतन मिति 4-8-1984 को हाजिर आवें। गैर हाजरी की सूरत में कार्रवाई यक तरका ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

ग्राज दिनांक . . . . . . को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदालत द्वारा जारी हम्रा ।

जी0 एल0 शर्मा, मोहर । ए० सी 0 II ग्रेड, कांगड़ा प

वम्रदालत श्री संजय शर्मा, सब-रजिस्ट्रार, पालमपुर, तहसील पालमपुर, जिला कांगड़ा

Case No. 24/1983

तारीख पेशो 30-7-84

श्री धर्म सिंह पुत्र पज् राम, साकिन टीका मछलियाना, मौजा सुलह. तहसील पालमपुर।

वशम

सर्व जनता

मुकद्मा उपरोक्त में श्री धर्म सिंह ने एक प्रार्थना पत्र जेर धारा 40/41 ऐक्ट रजिस्ट्री गुजारा है कि पर्जू वल्द मीनू राम, साकिन मछनैना, मौजा मुलहं, तहसील पालमपुर ने एक वसीयतनामा तिथि 4-9-33 को तहरीर करवाया है जिस को सायल रजिस्टर्ड करवाना चाहता है। ग्रतः बजरिया इक्तहार ग्राम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस वसीयत की रजिस्ट्री करने में एतराज हो तो वह ग्रपना उजर ग्रसालतन या घकालतन ग्रदालत हजा में तिथि 30-7-84 को हाजर होकर कर सकता है ग्रन्थया कार्यवाही हन्व जाब्ता ग्रामीली।

ग्राज 28-6-84 को यह नोटिस मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदालत से जा∜त हुग्रा ।

المراجد والمراجد

मोहर।

संजय शर्मा, सब-रजिस्ट्रार, पालमपुर।

ब प्रदालत श्री संजय शर्मा, सव-रजिस्ट्रार, पालमपुर, तहसील पालमपुर, जिला कांगडा

प्रायंना-पत्र श्रीमती रुधी देवी विधवा श्री ग्रजीत सिंह, साकिन लदोह, तहसील पालमपुर, जिला कांगड़ा u/s 40/41 Indian Regn. Act बराए रजिस्ट्री करने बसीयत नामा।

वनाम

# ग्राम जनता

ब मुकद्मा उपरोक्त श्रीमती रुघी देवी ने एक प्रायंना-पत्न जेर धारा 40/41 ऐक्ट रिजस्ट्री बराए रिजस्ट्री श्री ग्रजीत सिंह पुत्न श्री पीहजा, साकिन लदोह, तहसील पालमपुर ने गुजारी है। ग्रतः हर खास व ग्राम को, बजरिया इक्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को दुर्स वसीयत की रिजस्ट्री करने में कोई उजर हो तो वह श्रपना उजर

म्रसालतन या वकालतन ग्रदालत हजा में तिथि 30-7-84 को हाजर हो करपेश कर सकता है ग्रन्यथा कार्यवाही एक तरफा ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

े स्राज 26-6-84 को यह नोटिस मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर स्रदालत मे जारी हस्रा।

से जारी हुग्रा।

मोहर।

<sup>1</sup>मोहर ।

संजय शर्मा, सव-रजिस्ट्रार, पालमपुर ।

ब ग्रदालत जनाव सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, शिमला, तहसील व जिला शिमला

इश्तहार जेर धारा 21, रूल 5 जाब्ता दिवानी

श्री माठु राम पुत्र श्री कांशी राम, निवासी मौजा पुरानी कोटी, परगना धारटी, तहसील शिमला ..प्रार्थी।

बनाम

श्री खुदा बक्श पुत्र श्री मौलवी सईद ग्रहमद पुत्र श्री ग्रबदुला, निवासी मौजा पुरानी कोटी, परगना धारटी, तहसील व जिला शिमला।

दर्ख्यास्त बराये दहस्ती इन्द्राज कारत ग्रराजी ख0 नं 0 27 तथा 28 तादावी 28-12 बीघा मौजा पुरानी कोटी, तहसील शिमला।

मुकदमा उपरोक्त में प्रतिवादी श्री खुदा बक्श पुत्र मौलवी सईद ग्रहमद को ग्रदालत द्वारा समन जारी किया गया परन्तु इस रिपोर्ट के साथ वापस ग्राया है कि वह 1947 से पहले पाकिस्तान चला गया है। इस प्रकार उसकी तामील होनी वाजिब नहीं जान पड़ती। प्रतिवादी को श्रव इश्तहार जैर धारा 21, हल 5 जाब्ता दिवानी जारी किया जा

कर सूचित किया जाता है कि वह कहीं स्वयं पढ़े तो वह मुकद्मा की पैरवी के लिए मिति 17-8-84 ब वक्त 10 बजे असालतन व वकालतन जवाब देही हाजर आवे। बस्रत गैर हाजरी कार्यवाही यक तरफा अमल में लाई जावेगी।

ग्राज 28 जून, 1984 को मेरेहस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित जारी किया गया।

> हस्ताक्षरित/-सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, श्रिमला ।

श्रदालती इस्तहार ग्राडंर 5 रूल 20 सी 0 पी 0 सी 0

म्रज सरीक्ता श्री के 0 सी 0 दत्ता तहसीलदार, सोलन

विषय:⊸त्रसीयतनामा प्रोवेट करबाने हेतु श्री जगदीण चन्द, मित्तल भवन, सोलन का प्रार्थना-पत्न ।

उपरोक्त विषय में वजरिया इष्तहार सूचना दी जाती है कि राधालाल पुत्र श्री बनारसी दास, निवासी सोलन ने जिस के कि दो लड़के व पांच लड़कियां हैं व जो फौत हो चुका है ने जो बसीयननामा दिनांक 3-12-79 को प्रपने लड़कों के नाम तहरीर करवाया है इस बार यदि किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह यह एनराज लिखिन रूप में या जवानी तीरपर दिनांक 30-7-1984 से पूर्व श्रदालत हजा में पेण करें।

न्न्राज दिनांक 21 जून, 1984 को हमारे दस्तखन व मोहर ग्रदालन से जारी हुन्ना ।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित/⊶ तहसीलदार सोलन, जिला मोलन ।

व ग्रदालत जनाव सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय वर्ग, मुजानपुर टीरा

मुकदमा दरुस्ती इन्द्राज

ग्रमरु

वनाम

रत्न चन्द ।

वावत भूमि खाता नं० 43 मिन खतीनी नं० 53 मिन खमरा नम्बरान 268-270-306-515/1 किता 4 रकवा 3-9 जमई 0.69 वाक्या टीका बगेहड़ा बूहला, मौजा वीड़ बगेहड़ा, उप-तहसील मुजानपुर टीरा, जिला ह्मीरपुर श्रनुसार जमावन्दी 1982-83।

नोटिस बनाम:- श्री रत्न चन्द पुत्र ख्याली राम पुत्र दयाला, वासी वगेहड़ा बूहला, मौजा वीड़ वगेहड़, उप-तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर ।

उपरोक्त मुकड्मा उनवान बाला में ममुलग्रलह की तामील साधारण तरीका से घर पर न हो रही है। इसलिये इस इक्तहार द्वारा ममुलग्रलह को सूचित किया जाता है कि वह प्रसालतन या वकालतन दिनांक 1-8-84 को प्रातः 10 बजे हाजिर ग्रदालत ग्राकर मुकड्मा की पैरवी करे। बसूरत ग्रनुपस्थिति में दीगर कार्यवाही ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

यह इश्तहार ग्राज दिनांक 2-7-84 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर ग्रदालत से जारी हुग्रा ।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित/-सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय वर्ग, सुजानपुर ।

व श्रदालत श्री सीता राम शर्मा, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील सदर मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश

# मिसलनं 0 42

ब मुकद्माः श्री नरेण सिंह पुत्र रन्चु, निवासी डन्ढाल, तहसील सदर, जिला मण्डी । ..प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

सर्वश्री कर्म सिंह, मोहन सिंह पुत्रगण व श्रीमती कातकी देवी विधवा गैण्डा, रमेश चन्द पुत्र व मु० माचली पुत्री तथा मु० जिन्दी विधवा कन्हु, मस्त राम, शेर सिंह, लाला पुत्रगण रन्तु, मु० इन्दर पुत्री रन्तु, मु० कौली, मु० मोती पुत्रिया धुगलु, मु० सुन्दरी विधवा रन्तु, भलखू पुत्र व मु० सोंजी पुत्री डागी, दत्तराम,टेक चन्द, रूकमणी माता खुद संरक्षिका, श्रीमती गीनो पत्नी सेवक, मु० विद्या पत्नी हेम चन्द, निवासी हारट, मु० हिमा पत्नी सीमा, निवासी रोपड़ा, मु० माया पत्नी मेस राम, निवासी रोपड़ा, निला पुत्री सेवक, निवासी सरवाल, मु० सौजी पत्नी हरी सिंह, निवासी सुक्शवाल व गिनों विधवा सेवक निवासीगण डन्ढाल, तहसील सदर, मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश ...प्रत्यार्थीगण।

दरस्वास्त तकसीम ग्रराजी बाक्या मुहाल धनियारा/75, तहसील सदर, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

मुकदमा उनवान वाला में फरीक दोयम तामील करने से गुरेज करते हैं। ग्रदालत से फरीक दोयम को अनेक बार समन द्वारा तत्व किया जा चुका है लेकिन वह जानवूझ कर तामील समन से गुरेज करते हैं। इसलिए अदालत हजा को पूरा यकीन हो चुका है कि प्रत्यार्थींगण पर साधारण तौर से तामिल होनी असम्भव है। इसलिए फरीक दोयम को बजरिया इंग्लहार सूचित किया जाता है कि वे दिनांक 28-7-84 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजर होकर पैरवी मुकद्दमा करें। वसूरत दीगर उनके खिलाफ कार्यवाही एकतरफा ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

ग्राज दिनांक 19-6-84 का हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर द्वारा ग्रदालत

से जारी हुआ।

मोहर ।

सी गराम शर्मा, सहायक समाहर्ता, द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील सदर मण्डी, जिला मंडी।

व ब्रदालत श्री सीता राम गर्मा, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील सदर मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेण।

मिसल नं 0 43 व मुकदमा:-श्री नरेण सिंह पुत्र रन्चु, निवासी डन्ढाल, तहसील सदर, जिला मण्डी प्रार्थी

वनाम

सर्वश्री कर्म सिंह, मोहन सिंह पुत्रगण तथा मु० कातकी विधवा गैण्डा, रमेंग चन्द पुत्र व मु० माचलों पुत्री तथा मु० जिन्दी विधवा कन्हु, मस्त राम, शेर सिंह, लाला पुत्रगण रन्च, भलखू पुत्र व मु० सौजी पुत्री डागी, दत्तराम, टेक चन्द, रुकमणी माता खुद संरक्षिका, श्रीमती गीनो विध्वा सेवक, मु० विद्या पत्नी हेम चन्द, निवासी हारट, मु० हिमा पत्नी खीमा, निवासी रोपड़ा, मु० माया पत्नी मेम राम, निवासी रोपड़ा, निला पुत्री सेवक निवासी सरवाल. मु० सौजी पत्नी हरी सिंह, निवासी सुख्णवाल व गिनो, विधवा सेवक, निवासीगण डन्टाल, तहसील सदर, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश

दरस्वास्त तकसीम ग्रराजी वाक्या मुहाल धनियारा/75, तहसील सदर, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेण ।

मुकदमा उनवान वाला में फरीकदोयम तामील करने से गुरेज करते हैं। ग्रदालन से फरीकदोयम को ग्रनेक वार समन द्वारा तत्व किया जा चुका है लेकिन जानवूझ कर नामील समन से गुरेज करते हैं। इसलिए ग्रदालन हजा को पूरा विश्वास हो चुका है कि प्रत्यार्थीगण पर साधारण तौर से तामील होनी ग्रमम्भव है। इसलिए फरीकदोयम को वजरिया इश्तहार मूचिन किया जाना है कि दिनांक 28-7-84 को ग्रसालतन या वकालतन हाजर ग्रदालत होकर पैरवी मुकहमा करें वसूरत दीगर उनके खिलाफ कार्यवाही एकतरफा ग्रमल में लाई जावेगी।

श्राज दिनांक 19-6-84 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर द्वारा श्रदालत से जारी हुआ। मोहर। सीता राम णर्मा,

> सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, नहसील सदर मण्डी हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

न्यायालय तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, ऊना, ज़िला ऊना

प्रीतम चन्द उर्फ प्रीतम दास वनाम चरण दास वगैरा ।

विषय:-नसदीक इन्तकाल नं 0 3769 वाक्या मौजा ईशपुर, हदबस्त नं 0 196, तहसील व जिला ऊना मकफूद-उल-खबरी श्री प्रीतम चन्द उफं प्रीतम दास बनाम चरण दास श्रादि निवासी-गग मौजा ईशपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना।

माग 6--मारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन

भाग 7—मारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा धन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं क्या क्षान्य क्

मुन्दरजा उन ानबाला में पाया गया है कि श्री प्रीतम चन्द उर्फ प्रीजम दास पुत्र रिनया, निवासी ईशपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना ग्ररसा 20 साल से लापता है, उसका इन्तकाल नं0 3769 वाक्या मौजा ईशपुर इन्तकाल मकफूद-उल-खवरी बहक चरण दास, तरसेम लाल, सोहन लाल, शाम लाल पुत्रान, श्रीमती चरणो देवी पुत्री व श्रीमजी सावित्री विधवा, प्रीतम चन्द उर्फ प्रीतम दास पुत्र रिनया, बहिस्सा बरावर के नाम दर्ज है ग्रीर ज़ेर फैसला है। श्री प्रीतम चन्द उर्फ प्रीतम दास ग्ररसा 20 साल से लापता है ग्रीर उस की काई जानकारी न है जिससे जाहिर होता है कि उसकी मृत्यु हो चुकी होगी। ग्रतः इश्तहार हजा द्वारा सूचित कि तसकी जाता है कि यदि किसी को प्रीतम चन्द उर्फ प्रीतम दास के बारे में पता हो तो वह तसदीक इन्तकाल में ग्रपता उजर एतराज तिथि 6-8-1984 को या इससे पहले ग्रसालतन या वकालतन हाजिर ग्रदालत ग्राकर पेश कर सकता है इसके बाद कोई उजर, एतराज कावले समायत न होगा। ग्रीर इन्तकाल बहक उक्त चरण दास ग्रादि के नाम तसदीक कर दिया जावेगा।

म्राज दिनांक 2-7-1984 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर म्रदालत से जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित/-सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, ऊनः ।

# HIMACHAL PRADESH UNIVERSITY (CONDUCT BRANCH)

# NOTIFICATION

Shimla-5, the 19th June, 1984

No. 4-7/83-HPU (Conduct).—Shanti Gandhi daughter of Sh. H. R. Gandhi, Regd. No. 81-CC MN-73 has been allowed to change her name Shanti Gandhi to Shanti Bhatnagar. In future her name in the University record will be shown as Shanti Bhatnagar.

B. R. SHARMA.

Assistant Registrar (Exa<sup>n</sup>n.),

H. P. University, Shimla-54,

# CHANGE OF NAME

I, Jhanthu Ram s/o Shri Chharandu Ram, Village Kand Gwal, Post Office Gwal Tikkar, Tehsil Baijnath, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, have changed my name to Satish Kumar.

L/NK SATISH KUMAR, No. 1264319-F 114/32, FD Regt. c/o 56 APO.

## CHANGE OF NAME

I, Marhaju Devi w/o Sh. Pardhan Singh, Village Tikkru, P. O. Sanghol, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra (H. P.) working in Directorate of Animal Husbandry H. P., Shimla have changed my name from Smt. Marhaju Devi w/o Shri Pardhan Singh to Smt. Raj Kumari, therefore, I may be known as Smt. Raj Kumari in future.

MARHAJU DEVI,
Clerk,
Clo the Directorate of Animal Husbandy,
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

# PART II

## INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT

FORM 'Q'

Chamba, the 1st June, 1984

No. Ind. (Loans)369/2869-2872.—Whereas a notice war served on Shri Nidhia Ram s/o Shri Bisheshar Dass, Village Mucheri, Post Office Chamba, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba, Himachal Pradesh on 30-8-1983 under section 23 of the Himachal Pradesh State Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon the said Shri Nidhia Ram to pay to me the sum of Rs. 1443-+Rs. 894/- interest before 15-9-1983 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 7157/- plus interest Rs. 939/- is due upto 3/83 from the said Shri Nidhia Ram and that the property described in the attached Schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

#### **SCHEDULE**

All assets present and to be hereinafter acquired by the loanee whether the said assets are now or in future in his name including book debts, stocks, shares and premises, machinery and equipment whether existing or to be purchased with the aid of loan or a part thereof and any other personal security of the loanee or sureties land comprised of 4 Bighas 10 Biswas situated at Mohal Mugla, Tehsil Chamba, District Chamba comprised of Khasra No. 265/1, 265/2 Khatauni No. 166/263 belonging to Shri Nidhia Ram s/o Shri Bisheshar Dass, village Mucheri, P.O. Chamba.

Sd/-General Manager, District Industries Centre, Chamba, H. P.

# DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE H.P. STATE AID TO INDUSTRIES ACT, 1971

Dharamshala, the 13th March, 1984

No. Yad (Loans) L/DIO/1760/5068.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Munshi Ram s/o Shri Jagat Ram, Cart Road, Dharamshala, at present Village Machhalia, Village and P.O. Sullah, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra on 27-5-76 under section 23 of the Himachal Pradesh State Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon said Shri Munshi Ram to pay to me the sum of Rs. 500 + Rs. 220 as interest with interest thereon @ 9% per annum from 30-3-75 till date of final payment and whereas the said sum has not been paid in full, I herbey declare that the sum of Rs. 1834 + Rs. 2600 as interest with further interest thereon @ 9% per annum from 30-3-83 till date of final payment is due from the said Shri Munshi Ram and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

# **SCHEDULE**

All assets present and to be hereinafter acquired by the loanee whether the said assets are now or in future in his name, including book debts, stocks, shares and premises, machinery and equipment whether existing or to be purchased with the aid of loan or apart thereof and any other personal security of the loanee or sureties s/Shri Rikhi Ram and Rono Devi.

S. D. S. JASWAL, General Manager, District Industries Centre, Kangra at Dharamshala.

# DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE H.P. STATE AID TO INDUSTRIES ACT, 1971

Dharamshala, the 13th March, 1984

No. Ind. (Loans)/L/DIO/1761/5082.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Attar Singh s/o Shri Sohan Singh, Village Bhatti, P. O. Bhawarna, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra on 29-10-74 under section 23 of the Himachal Pradesh State Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon the said Shri Attar Singh to pay to me the sum of Rs. 2000 + Rs. 275 as intt. with interest thereon @ 9% per annum from 30-3-1972 till date of final payment and whereas the said sum has not been paid in full, I hereby declare the sum of Rs. 2000/- + Rs. 2700/- as intt. with further interest thereon @ 9% per annum from

30-3-83 till date of final payment is due from the said Shri Attar Singh and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

#### SCHEDULE

All assets present and to be hereinafter acquired by the loanee whether the said assets are now or in future in his name including book debts, stocks, shares and premises, machinery and equipment whether existing or to be purchased with the aid of loan or a part thereof and any other personal security of the loanee or sureties.

S. D. S. JASWAL, General Manager, Distt. Industries Centre, Kangra at Dharamshala.

# PUBLICATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Mandi, the 15th June, 1984

No. Ind/Loan/LP/5248-51.—Whereas a notice was served to M/s. Hitkari Industrial Co-operative Society, Chauntra, Village and Post Office Chauntra, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh on 7-3-84 under section 23 of the Himachal Pradesh State Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon the said society to pay to me the sum of Rs. 123.60 Prin. only before 7-4-84 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 123.60+interest is due from said M/s Hitkari Industrial Society and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

#### **SCHEDULE**

All assets present and to be hereinafter acquired by the loanee whether the said assets are now or in future in his name including book debts, stocks, shares and premises, machinery and equipment whether existing or to be purchased with the aid of loan or a part thereof and any other personal security of the loanee. or five times the liability of the members.

A. S. SALUJA, General Manager, District Industries Centre, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P.

# PUBLICATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT Mandi, the 30th June, 1984

No. Ind./Loan/LP/5567-70.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Sohan Singh s/o Shri Tej Singh, Village and P. O. Jach (Chachyot), District Mandi (H. P.) on 21-3-84 under section 23 of the Himachal Pradesh State Aid to Industries Act. 1971 calling upon the said Shri Sohan Singh to pay to me the sum of Rs. 1000.00 before 6-4-83 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs.1000.00+ Intt. + P.I. is due from said Shri Sohan Singh and that the property described in the attached sche cule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

#### SCHEDULE

All assets present and to be hereinafter acquired by the loanee whether the said assets are now or in future' in his name including book debts, stocks, shares a nd premises, machinery and equipment whether existing or to be purchased with the aid of loan or a part thereof and any other personal security of the loanee or land comprised in Khasra No. 501 situated in V. jach, P.O. Jhungi & House double storyed consisting 6 rooms standing in Khasra No. 501, V.P.O. Jach, belonging to Shri Sohan Singh s/o Shri Tej Singh.

A. S. SALUJA, General Manager, District Industries Centre, Mandi district, Mandi (H.P.).

								D	AIL	Y R	AIN	FAL	L R	ECO	RD	ED 1	IN E	IIM	ACH	AL
District and Statio	a	1st	2nd	3rd	416	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	iith	12th	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18เกี	19t <b>b</b>
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8_	وا	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Bilaspur: Sadar Ghumarwin Raghunathpura Bilaspur Obs.	::	7.8 N N N	1,2	T	2.0	-	-	AAAA	V V	3.2 A A A	I I I	L L L	4.0 A A A	1.6 B B B	L L L	EEE	3.2	4.0	1.2	<u> </u>
Chamba: Chamba Sahu Chhatrari Bhandal Chowari Bathri Kalatop Bharmaur Tissa Bhanota Kilar			- 0 0 0 3.2 - 0	3.0 4.0 T -23.4 T T 4.3 -T	6.0 11.0 20.4 5.1	23.0	5.0 24.4 4.1	12.0 18.0 A A A 5.2 8.9 15.0 A	8.6 40.4 V 	2.0 27.2 A 34.0 A 20.3 25.0 A	1.0 I 32.0 I I I	10.2 6.0 L 13.0 54.4 L L 4.5	2.0 A 20.5 A A A	43.8 31.0 B 	22,4 L 4.4 L 2.1 2.2 17.0 L	4.1 22.6 E E E E	3.4		14.2	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
Hamirpur: Hamirpur		_	-	5.0	-	5.0	-	-	-	74.0	_	1.0	7.0	-	6.0	7.0	-	-	-	-
Kangra: Dehra Kangra Nurpur Dharamshala Palampur	::	13.0 N N	24.6 19.4 O O	47. 8 T	0.4 13.0 6.1	0 4 6.8 25.2	7.6	1.8 A A	82,8 66.0 V	14.0 7.0 A A		51.8 45.0 L L		2.0 65.0 16.1 B B	8.0 8.1 L L	16.2 — E E	8.0 25.2	=	3.0	15.0
Kulba: Kullu Banjar		N N	8	T				A	v	A	I	L L	A A	ВВ	L L	F	=	=	=	× . =
Kinnaur: Nichar Kilba Sangla Kalpa Purbani	::	2.0	4.4 0.8	2.0	4.0 5.0 —	3.2 5.3 0.1	5.8 5.4 2.0 1.0	5.0 2.0	2.6 16.4 0.7	24.0 19.8 14.0 8.2	2.0	11111	_ _ _ _	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	7.8	2.2 4.8	9.4 1.0 8.0 6.2	1.0		===:
Lahaul and Spiti: Keylong Kaza		N N	0	T T				A	¥	<b>^</b>	I I	L L	A	B B	L L	E E				
Shimla: Rampur Rohru Jubbal Chopal Theog Kumarsain Junga Kasumpti Suni Kotkhai Khadrala Bashla Shillaroo Parala Kotgarh Phancha Masbobra		N 3.00 5.00 5.50 7.50 1 NN   NN   NN   NN 6.6	0 7.8  12.5 0 4.2 32.0 0 30.5 29.5 0	25.5 T 30.4 10.0 25.0 T 45.0 T 11.6 63.0 T T 22.5 22.0 T T	12.5 10.0 16.2 5.5 5.7	11.6	35.5 28.6 19.0 35.0 8.5 0.1 34.0 2.0 2.7	16.0 35.0 A A 34.4 A 1.5	10.0 V 12.6 13.5 15.0 V 2.5 V 2.4 32.4 V 12.0 14.0 V 2.2	6.5 A 4.9 2.9 15.0 A 4.0 A 1.2 14.2 A A 10.5 9.5 A 4.5	1.5 I 1.6 I 10.0 I 1 2.0 I 1 2.0 I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	בו		B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	_   _ L	40.0 E 25.5 E 3.2 35.4 E 8.5 11.5 E	2.8 17.1 20.0 6.5 9.0 5.5 6.5	4.5		

·					, ।हमाच						30 🔻	ाषाढ़,	1906		14		909
PRAJ	DESH	FOR	THE	MON	TH (	OF .	AUC	US	Γ, 19	81						In M	ilimeters
20th	21st	22nd	23rd	24th	25th	26th	27th	28th	29tn	30th	31st	Number of	Normal No.	Total rainfall S for the month	Normal rainfall	Heaviest rainfall	Total rainfall of from 1-8-1981 to 31-8-1981
6.2 N N	15.0 O	4.0 T T	5.4 A A	v v	5.6 A A	12.2 I I	27.8 L L L	1.8 A A	— В В	L L L	E	13	13.3	144.4	301.0	38.2	144.4
N	0	т	Λ	Ÿ	A	Î	Ĺ	A	В		E		13.8		293.9	=	=
	42.5	10.4							Dist	t. To	tal erage	<b>13</b> <b>●</b> .0	=	144.4 144.4	=	=	144.4 144.4
N	43.6 3.0 O	18.4 4.2 T	17.0 A	- v			- - L				_ 	15	12.5 8.3	152.5 245.6	195.7 136.1	43.8 40.4	152.5 245.6
	56.3 O O	11.0 T T 4.2	25.0 60.0 A A	V V 1.2	A	6.0 I I		22.0 A A	8.0 B B		E	8 13	10.7 15,8	154.0 455.2	212.4 449.7	34.0 141.2	154.0 455.2
7	10	7.6 T	7.1 8.0 A	- v				_ _ _			E	10 10 4	14.0 13.3 11.3	71.4 116.6 50.0	180.9 205.3 203.5	20.3 25.0 17.0	71.4 116.6 50.0
							 		Dist Dist	t. To	tal erage	68 9.7	124,4 12.4	1245.3 177.9	2408.9 2409	Ξ	1245.3 177.9
78.0	16.0	20.0	-	40.0	17.0	-	-	_	- 1			11		276.0		78.0	276.0
1				1			 		Dist	tt. To tt. Av	tal erage	11.0	=	276.0 276.0	_	=	276.0 276.0
15.0   X X	24.4 2.1 O	15.4 6.0 T	6.4 23.1 A A	- - v v	- - - - -	0.6 I I	55.8 55.0 L L		1.0 B B	  L	EE	19 11 —	N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A.	42.4 496.4 279.7	N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A.	16.2 82.8 66.0	42.4 496.4 279.7
7			i					ļ ļ	Dist Dist	tt. To	tal erage	33 11.0	N.A. N.A.	818.5 272.8	N.A.	=	818.5 272.8
N N	8	T T	A	V V	A A	I	L	A	B	L L	E	=	=	=	=	=	_
									Dis Dis	tt. To	tal	=	=	   <u> </u>		=	=
11111			11111		=======================================			=======================================	3.8	3.0	0.3	1 8 8 4 2	13.0 7.1 7.4 5.0 3.0	28.0 55.4 54.3 37.1 19.4	138,1 61.6 54.9 35.8 25.6	24.0 19.8 16.4 14.0 8.2	28.0 55.4 54.3 37.1 19.4
					ļ	) 			Dis Dis	tt. To tt. Av	tal erage	23 4.6	35.5 7.1	194.2 38.8	316.0 63.2	-	194.2 38.8
N N	8	T T	A A	<b>V</b> V	A A	I I	L	A	B	L	E	=	N.A. N.A.	=	N.A. N.A.	=	=
								Ì	Dis Dis	tt. To	tal Verage	=	=	=	N.A. N.A.	=	
- N	<u></u>	- T	15.0 A		Ā	<del>-</del>		$\frac{1}{A}$	B	6.5 L	E	12	10.5	183.0	133.3	35.5	183.0
=			0.9	=		=	=	=	=	1.9	=	10 11 12	11.8 14.3	162.3 122.5 223.0	197.1 219.0	30,4 20,0 40,0	122.5
N N	7.5 0	$\frac{\mathbf{T}}{\mathbf{T}}$	8.0	_	-	$\frac{A}{A}$	5.0 V	$\frac{A}{A}$	$\frac{1}{1}$	L	A	15		182.0 E	322.1	45,0	182,0
_ _ N	- - 0	0.5 T	2.8 13.0	=	=	= A	V			- - L	=	12	10.8	53.2 306.3	190.3 182.8	11.6 63.0	
Z IZ	0,5 0,7 O	T T	1.5	=	: : =	A - A	v   _   v	A -	-  -   -	L	A	10 11 B	13.3 L	113.5 120.0 E	275.6 221.7	30,5 29.5	113.5 120.0
<u> </u>	7.4	T'	8.3	_	· _	A -	13.0	- A		L		13	l	192.2	<u> </u>	48.8	
		[				1			Dis Dist	tt. To	erage erage	113 11.3	3.8	1658.0 165.8	 	=	1658.0 1 165.8

		<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>			ī	D/	AIL)	/ R	AIN	FAL.	L RI	ECO	RD	ED :	IN t	IIM	ACI	LAL
District and	Station	lst	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th
_11			3	4	_5	6	7_	8	9	_10	11	12	_13	_14	15	16	_17	_18	19	_ 20_
Mandi: Sadar Jogindernagar Sarkaghat Chachiot Sundernagar Karsog Bhangrotu Jhungi Kataula Panjain Janjehli Mandi Obs.		X 5.00 6.0     X 6.   X X X	15.0 47.1 — — — — 0 11.4 — — 0	15.0 2.0 17.3 71.1 41.0 T 17.6 T	1.2 17.0 4.3 42.4	2.0 1.2 1.4 98.6		A 5.0 — 2.2 1.0 A 12.2 — A A	V 15.0 30.0 8.4 — 6.0 V — 9.6 V V	A 30.0 12.4 2.2 4.0 A 5.2 A A A	2.0 I	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	A 20.0 11.0 4.1 26.0 12.0 A 11.2 46.5 A A	B 85.0 13.0 2.0 3.0 - B 8.4 51.0 B B B	10.0 147.3 10.0 L 6.2 14.0 L L	E 42.0 48.0 60.2 22.0 E 13.4 6.8 E E	10.0 — 1.1 1.0 24.2 6.2	2.0 3.0 10.4	8.0	11.2
olan: Nalagarh Solan Solan Arki Kandaghat	::	N  9.2 	5.8 11.0 	26.0		1 -1	0.4	A 62.4 12.1	V 1.9 1.2 —	A 1.2 3.8 — 2.0		L 6.2 26.0	A 1.5 5.2 23.2	B 0.4 5.4 — 3.0	L 2.0 2.8 8.0 0.4	11.0	1.0 42.0 14.4	0.2	7.4 8.6 4.8	14.6
sirmaur: Nahan Paoota Renuka Pachhad Bagthan Dhaula Kuan Dadupur			10.4 O	T T 17.0 161.0 T T 55.0	3.0 21.4 25.0	39.0	8.0 4.4 4.0	A A 5.0 A A	28.0 V 28.0 V V	A 9.0 35.0 A A 6.0	I	L 2.0 10.6 L L 25.0	A A 2.0 A A 6.0	B B 5.0 B B	L 4.0 1.6 L L 10.0	E 16.0 10.4 E E 8.0	- - - 9.0	=	46.0	<u>-</u>
Jna: Una		1.8	1.0	-		-	1.5		_	2.5	-	-	-	-	2.5	2.0	-	1.0	2.0	-
														Mar.	co y					₹

PR.	ADE	SH	FOR	TH	IE N	ION		OF					0 21414,		· • · · · · · ·		911
PRADESH FOR THE MONTH OF AUGUST, 1981  In Militureters  20(n) 21st   22nd   23rd   24th   25th   26th   27th   28th   29th   30th   31st   50   10   10   10   10   10   10   10															Miliweters		
20¢n	21st	22nd 23	23rd 24				27th		29th	30th	31st	Number of rainy cays	Normal number of rainy days	Total rainfall	Normal rainfall of for the month	Harviest rainfall during the month	Total rainfall from 1-8-81 to 31-8-81
	0	Т				Α	v	Λ	1	1			1		36 1		38
8.0 24.1 10.1 N 6.4	73.0 4.0 0 7.2 18.4	40.0 1.0 T 9.0 T	18.2		4.1	2.0 2.0 A —	26.0 5.0 3.0 4.0 V	3.0 	10.0 13.0 — — — I	L	A	B 17 13 12 8 11 B 14 12	L 19.7 16.6 17.6 17.1 12.7 L 12.6 16.7	E 382.0 218.2 284.4 271.2 122.7 E 279.8 204.7	677.5 616.5 465.8 459.4 238.9 261.8 346.4	85.0 42.0 48.0 73.0 41.0 98.6 51.0	382.0 218.2 284.4 271.2 122.7 279.8 204.7
ZZZ	0	T			(	A	V V	A	I I I	L L L	A	B B B	L L L	E E E			20
-		}								rict To		87 12,4	193.4 16.1	1763.c 251.9	4932.2 411.0	=	1763.0 251.9
N 4.5 — 9.4	0 16.0 25.6 5.0 6.6	7 6.0 31.2 	1.6	3.6	=======================================	1.0 1.8 2.5 3.4	V 0.7 13.4  3.6	2.6 50	0.9	L	\\ \frac{\lambda}{\text{-}}	B 9 17 8 13	14.9 11.7	E 133.5 334.2 81.5 152.9	381.2 282.0	27.7 71.4 26.0 29.6	133.5 334.2 81.5 152.9
					}				Dist Dist	rict To	tal erage	47	=	702.1 175.5	=	=	702.1 175.5
zzllzz	00 1 00	T T T T	=	=	-	A A 	vv     vv	A A A A		L L L L	A A A A	B B 13 7 B B	15.0 16.3 <b>L</b>	E E 254.0 256.8 E E	470.4 393.5	98.0	254.0 256.8
	-	-	2.0	-	-	_	-	_			1_	11		236.0 746.8		55.0	236.0
	4								Dist	rict To rict Av	tai erage	10.3	_	248.9	=	=	746.8 248.9
2.5	3.5	1.5	2.5	-	_	-	-	-	1.5	. –	-	5	-	25.8	-	3.5	25.8
									Dist Dist	rict To	tal erage	5.0	=	25.8 25.8	=	=	25.8 25.8